UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ROBERT L. DYKES #201541,

Plaintiff,

File No. 1:14-cv-01167

N. MARSHALL, ET AL.,

V.

Defendants.

Trial - Volume 1

Before

THE HONORABLE RAY KENT
United States Magistrate Judge
August 22, 2018

## <u>APPEARANCES</u>

In Pro Per: Robert L. Dykes-Bey #201541

Oaks (MSP)

Oaks Correctional Facility

1500 Caberfae Hwy. Manistee, MI 49660

For the Defendant: Michael Richard Dean

Michigan Department of Attorney General Public Employment, Elections & Tort Div.

525 W. Ottawa Street

P.O. Box 30736 Lansing, MI 48909 (517) 373-6434 deanm2@michigan.gov

Case 1:14-cv-01167-RSK ECF No. 136, PageID.1135 Filed 12/26/18 Page 2 of 171 Recorded By: Digitally Recorded Courtroom Deputy: S. Carpenter Interpreters American Sign Ashley Oakley-Stanaway Language: Renita Creasy Transcribed By: Bonnie L. Rozema, CER-5571 (616) 878-9091 rozemab1@comcast.net

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

WITNESSES FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	<u>PAGE</u>
ROBERT L. DYKES-BEY	
Testimony by Mr. Dykes-Bey Cross-examination by Mr. Dean	80 95
WITNESSES FOR THE DEFENDANT:	
NANCY (MARSHALL) KERR	
Direct examination by Mr. Dean Cross-examination by Mr. Dykes-Bey	120 137
OTHER THINGS IN TRANSCRIPT:	
Voir dire	3
Preliminary instructions	56
Opening Statements	
By Mr. Dykes-Bey By Mr. Dean	70 71
EXHIBITS:	<u>IDENTIFIED</u>
Exhibit book received prior to trial.	

3.

```
Grand Rapids, Michigan
1
2
        Wednesday, August 22, 2018 - 9:01 a.m.
3
                        THE COURT: All right, this is cv -- 14-cv-1167,
            Dykes versus Marshall. We're here for the first day of
 4
 5
            trial. Mr. Dykes, are you ready to go?
 6
                        MR. DYKES-BEY: Yes.
7
                        THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Dykes, how do -- what do
            you -- what do you want me to call you? I mean I'm calling
 8
 9
            you Mr. Dykes. Is that --
                        MR. DYKES-BEY: Yeah, my name -- my name is
10
11
             Dykes-Bey.
12
                        THE COURT: Okay.
13
                        MR. DYKES-BEY: That's what I want to be called.
                        THE COURT: Okay. Well, you get to be called
14
15
            whatever you want to be called. You might be surprised to
16
            learn that it took me a long time to convince people to
17
            call me Ray Kent rather than my full name is actually
18
            Raymond Scott Kent. So on everything, including when I
            came here, the plate outside my courtroom was Raymond, and
19
20
             I'm like, I'm only Raymond to my mother, okay? Ray Kent is
21
            what I want to be called. So you get to be called whatever
22
             you want. So Dykes-Bey it is.
23
                        Mr. Dean, are you ready to go?
24
                        MR. DEAN: I am, your Honor.
                        THE COURT: All right. And this is
25
```

1	Ms. Marshall. You have
2	MR. DEAN: Yeah, and I just want to point out
3	her married name is Nancy Kerr now, so we may need to make
4	that clear to the jury that the documents show her name is
5	Marshall, but she's actually Mrs. Kerr.
6	THE COURT: Okay, well, maybe when you I'll
7	ask you to introduce her
8	MR. DEAN: Yes.
9	THE COURT: at some point maybe you could
10	clear that up when
11	MR. DEAN: I will do that.
12	THE COURT: you introduce her.
13	THE CLERK: How do you spell that?
14	MS. KERR: K-e-r-r.
15	THE CLERK: Thank you.
16	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Dykes-Bey, before we
17	get rolling with anything else, I received your proposed
18	voir dire. I'm just going to tell you the ones that I'm
19	not going to let you ask. That would be number 11. I give
20	an instruction that will cover what to do about people who
21	have been convicted of a crime in terms of credibility.
22	MR. DYKES-BEY: Okay.
23	THE COURT: Sixteen, and 18 I think are too
24	close to arguing facts that are likely to be in issue in
25	the case, so they're out. Seventeen is out because, again,

5

1 I give an instruction. 2 MR. DYKES-BEY: Seventeen? 3 THE COURT: Actually, here, it's the 16, 17, 18, and 19 are all out. Eighteen and 19 because they're, 4 5 again, close to argument, 17 because I give an instruction. 6 The rest of them are fine. 7 So in a short while I'll call and have the 8 prospective jurors brought to the courtroom. The computer 9 has generated random lists, so they'll be called to sit in the seats according to that list. And then I'm going to 10 11 ask them some questions, give them some preliminary 12 instructions, then I'll ask them some questions. I'll 13 allow each of you to ask them some questions. We'll have challenges for cause up here at sidebar with white noise. 14 15 And once we've got a jury that everyone agrees is okay for cause, then we'll exercise peremptories. We only have 20 16 17 prospective jurors. I don't suspect we're going to have any problem with, you know, not having enough, but bear in 18 mind we only have 20, and we need eight of them in the box 19 20 before we start the trial. 21 What else? We have a prospective juror who is 22 deaf, so we're going to have interpreters sitting in those 23 two chairs, sign language interpreters --24 MR. DYKES-BEY: Uh-huh. 25 THE COURT: -- who will be interpreting for this

6

1	juror. And there's two of them because evidently they take
2	turns because their hands get tired. Let's see what else.
3	Stephanie, anything else you can think of
4	THE CLERK: Not right now.
5	THE COURT: that I should cover before we get
6	rolling?
7	All right, well, gentlemen, anything we should
8	talk about before we get started? Mr. Dykes-Bey, anything
9	from you?
10	MR. DYKES-BEY: No, I'm good. I'm good.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. DYKES-BEY: Yeah.
13	THE COURT: You know, let me if you're
14	nervous, it's completely normal.
15	MR. DYKES-BEY: Yeah.
16	THE COURT: If you're not nervous, it's
17	abnormal, okay? Mr. Dean's nervous, I think I said this
18	last time. He's nervous, I'm actually nervous.
19	MR. DYKES-BEY: Right.
20	THE COURT: You know, I don't know why. I have
21	no idea why, you know.
22	MR. DYKES-BEY: Yeah.
23	THE COURT: But I am, so it's just natural. You
24	know, just try to stay focused, you know, on whatever's
25	happening in the moment. As I said last time, simple,

clear, well-organized is the best way to persuade people, 1 2 you know. 3 When you're talking to -- well, when you're on the witness stand, I guess we only have you as a witness, 4 5 or when you're talking to the jury in opening statement or 6 closing argument, I would encourage you to think of it like 7 you're in your living room and your new neighbors just came 8 over to visit. So you're just having a conversation with 9 these new neighbors. Now, you know, because they're new, you're trying to make a good impression, right? So you 10 11 kind of maybe don't talk to them the same way you would 12 talk to your family members. 13 MR. DYKES-BEY: Uh-huh. THE COURT: But, you know, comfortable. Just a 14 15 conversation in your living room over the coffee table. If you try to imagine, keep that image in your mind, I think 16 17 you'll be more effective in communicating to them. 18 I guess that's it. So we'll have the jury called and --19 20 MR. DYKES-BEY: Well, he had some stipulations. 21 THE COURT: Oh, okay. 22 MR. DEAN: I do, yeah. 23 THE COURT: Fire away. 24 MR. DEAN: Actually, and I added an Exhibit 17 25 per our discussion at the final pretrial conference, to

1	your exhibit binder.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. DEAN: I'll mention that now.
4	THE COURT: Sure. Thank you.
5	MR. DEAN: I'll hand it to you. Unfortunately,
6	I ran out of number 17 tabs
7	THE COURT: That's all right.
8	MR. DEAN: so I've got a post-it note.
9	THE COURT: No worries.
10	MR. DEAN: And the second thing is we reached an
11	agreement on the stipulation for Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, 14,
12	and 16, which were grievances about them not being admitted
13	for the truth of the matter, but for the limited purpose of
14	establishing that he filed grievances.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR. DEAN: I actually hand-wrote it out. I'll
17	give it to you.
18	THE COURT: So when do you think when would
19	you propose that I read this to the jury?
20	MR. DEAN: I would suggest the first time he
21	covers a grievance as an exhibit, and then just mention
22	that this is one of several exhibits, and I numbered them
23	in the stipulation that are
24	THE COURT: All right.
25	MR. DEAN: That you'll see our grievances, and

9

1	that we have a special instruction for them.
2	THE COURT: All right, somebody remind me, okay?
3	MR. DEAN: Okay.
4	THE COURT: Because I undoubtedly will forget
5	when the moment comes.
6	MR. DEAN: Yeah, no problem.
7	THE COURT: So gentlemen, remind me that I'm
8	going to do that.
9	(Off the record discussion between the Court and
10	the clerk.)
11	THE COURT: All right, well, I'm going to step
12	out, gentlemen. I'm going to have the jurors brought down.
13	When they're here I'll come back in. Stephanie will reopen
14	court, and we'll begin jury selection.
15	(At 9:10 a.m., court in recess.)
16	(At 9:17 a.m., back on the record.)
17	THE COURT: Good morning. Welcome to the United
18	States District Court for the Western District of Michigan,
19	and thank you for coming. We're here this morning to
20	select a jury in the case of Robert L. Dykes-Bey versus
21	Nancy Marshall. This is a civil trial. Mr. Dykes claims
22	that defendant Marshall, a prison official at the Michigan
23	Reformatory, denied him his fist amendment rights by
24	retaliating against him. Defendant Marshall denies that
25	she deprived Mr. Dykes-Bey of his first amendment rights by

\_\_\_\_10-

retaliating against him for filing one or more grievances. 1 2 Mr. Dykes-Bey is representing himself. The defendant is 3 represented by Attorney Michael Dean. We're going to pick eight people for the jury. 4 5 Trial will begin after the jury is selected. We expect the 6 trial will be completed by the end of the day tomorrow. If 7 not, we'll need to come back on Monday to finish, because 8 on Friday I am not available. 9 I'm going to tell you now who we have in the 10 courtroom, and the cast of characters will change. But we 11 have deputy marshals, we have my courtroom deputy, 12 Stephanie Carpenter, we have seated to my left you'll 13 notice, I'm sure, two American Sign Language interpreters,

because one of your number has a hearing disability. And so if he -- they'll be here during jury selection, and if he's selected for the jury, they'll be here throughout the trial.

Right now I'm going to have these ladies sworn in to faithfully discharge their duties as American Sign

Ms. Ashley Oakley-Stanaway and Ms. Renita Creasy. That's

Stephanie?

Language interpreters.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear or affirm that you will justly, truly, fairly, and impartially act as interpreters in the case before the Court, so help you God?

\_\_\_\_11-

1	MS. OAKLEY-STANAWAY: I do.
2	MS. CREASY: I do.
3	(At 9:20 a.m., interpreters sworn.)
4	THE CLERK: You may be seated.
5	THE COURT: All right, before we get started,
6	let me touch on one other issue, and that is Mr. Dykes-Bey
7	is seated right here in the white shirt. Turn around and
8	say hi or wave to the crowd. There you go. Peace sign,
9	perfect.
10	The defendant, whose name whose last name was
11	Marshall at the time of the events giving rise to this
12	suit, to this lawsuit, is sitting at the defense table with
13	Mr. Dean. She has since been married, however, and her
14	married name is Kerr. So we you may hear her referred
15	to as Marshall or Kerr. Same woman. Same person.
16	All right, at this time I'm going to ask
17	Ms. Carpenter to swear all of you in as prospective jurors.
18	THE CLERK: If you'd all rise and raise your
19	right hands.
20	Do you swear or affirm that you will truthfully
21	answer all questions that shall be asked of you touching
22	upon your qualifications as a juror in the case now called
23	for trial, so help you God?
24	THE JURORS: I do.
25	(At 9:21 a.m., jurors sworn.)

THE CLERK: You may be seated.

THE COURT: I just started asking this question, but did anybody not say "I do?" Okay, good. Ms. Carpenter is now going to call the names of some of you to come forward and take a seat in the jury box. Your names were all put in the computer down in the jury selection by the jury selection clerk. The computer randomly, you know, orders you and then draws names at random. So the names that we'll be drawing are the first eight names that were randomly selected by the computer. If your name's called, please come forward. First person called please come into the box, go all the way to the left and sit in seat number one.

THE CLERK: In seat number one, juror number one, Gary John Roberts. In seat number two, juror number 30, Charles Lawrence Moore. In seat number three, juror number 27, Jason Joseph Johnson. In seat number four, juror number 29, Melia Lynn Carter. In seat number five, juror number 34, Frances Lucille Tice. In seat number six, juror number eight, Eliza Grace Owens. In seat number seven, juror number three, Christopher James Keeler. In seat number eight, juror number 28, Mary Chase Mobley.

THE COURT: All right, all of you are qualified to be jurors, and that applies to all of you in the back as well. There may be some reason, however, that it would not

**-**13-

be appropriate for you to be a juror in this particular 1 2 case. To determine that, we employ a proceeding known as 3 voir dire. Voir dire is simply Latin for "speak truth." We ask you questions touching on your qualifications to 4 5 serve in order to gain more background information about 6 you. Furthermore, to a limited extent, Mr. Dykes-Bey and 7 Mr. Dean may excuse some of you from service for any reason 8 at all. So if you're excused, please don't consider it any 9 reflection on you personally. At this time I've already introduced Mr. Dykes 10 11 and Mr. Dean to you, so we'll skip that. As far as I know, 12 Mr. Dean -- or Mr. Dykes-Bey, the only witness you'll be 13 calling is yourself, am I right? MR. DYKES-BEY: Absolute -- correct. 14 15 THE COURT: And Mr. Dean, you'll only be calling 16 Ms. Kerr? 17 MR. DEAN: That's correct. THE COURT: Okay. So we're only going to have 18 19

THE COURT: Okay. So we're only going to have two witnesses in the case, and they are, in fact, the plaintiff and the defendant. I'm going to ask you some questions now, and then I'll give Mr. Dykes-Bey and Mr. Dean an opportunity to ask you some questions of their own. Does anyone — any one of you in the box right now, we call the jury box "the box," so you're in the box. Do any of you have a health, hearing, vision, or other problem

20

21

22

23

24

25

**—**14**–** 

1	that would make serving on this jury difficult or
2	impossible?
3	Yes, ma'am. Ms
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Carter.
5	THE COURT: Carter.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Yes. I mean it
7	doesn't make it impossible, but I had a family death last
8	week, and I have family in from California right now at my
9	home, and they're leaving on Saturday. So I don't know if
10	that's an excuse for it.
11	THE COURT: Okay. All right, well, it's not a
12	health, hearing, or disability problem, but I appreciate
13	you speaking up and we'll take that into account.
14	Now, as I said, the trial is expected to take
15	two days. I think we'll be done tomorrow. I'm pretty
16	confident we'll be done tomorrow. I really think it's
17	unlikely we'll have to come back on Monday, but just the
18	fact that we're going to be here at least today and
19	tomorrow pose a problem for anyone, other than Ms. Carter?
20	THE JURORS: (No verbal response.)
21	THE COURT: All right, has anyone heard or read
22	any okay, all right, Mr. Keeler.
23	MR. KEELER: (Through interpreter.) Yes, your
24	Honor, my son, I'm supposed to drop my son off at day care
25	tomorrow, but the day care won't accept him because he has

1	the Hand-and-Foot disease right now, that rash.
2	THE COURT: Oh, sorry to hear that. Is there
3	any other arrangement that you could make for him, for his
4	care for the two days that we're likely to be in trial?
5	MR. KEELER: I don't have. My wife and I, we
6	live together and my wife has to go to work tomorrow. They
7	have a strict policy, so I was hoping that the day care
8	would accept my son, but I think that if you provide a
9	letter, that they could watch my son. Maybe that would
10	work (unintelligible.)
11	THE COURT: Okay. All right, we'll think about
12	that. Have any of you read or heard anything about this
13	case?
14	THE JURORS: (No verbal response.)
15	THE COURT: All right, is there anything about
16	the case itself, and I understand you don't know much about
17	it now, but based upon my very brief explanation as an
18	introduction, is there anything about the case that you
19	think would make it difficult for you to be fair and
20	impartial?
21	THE JURORS: (No verbal response.)
22	THE COURT: Do any of you know Mr. Dykes-Bey?
23	THE JURORS: (No verbal response.)
24	THE COURT: And just in case I stumble,
25	Mr. Dykes-Bey's last name is hyphenated Dykes-Bey. I've

1	been calling him Mr. Dykes throughout the case. My bad.
2	This morning I asked him what he, "How would you like me to
3	refer to you?" And he said, "Well, my name is really
4	Dykes-Bey," and I said, "I'm sorry. I will call you
5	Dykes-Bey." But if I stumble, understand that I'm
6	intending to call him Mr. Dykes-Bey. So nobody knows
7	Mr. Dykes-Bey?
8	THE JURORS: (No verbal response.)
9	THE COURT: Anybody know Mr. Dean, a lawyer for
10	the Michigan Attorney General's Office?
11	THE JURORS: (No verbal response.)
12	THE COURT: Anybody know Mrs. Kerr, formerly
13	Ms. Marshall?
14	THE JURORS: (No verbal response.)
15	THE COURT: All right, has any have any of
16	you oh, Stef, let's go to the microphone. Have any of
17	you served on a jury before?
18	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Okay, I'm going to almost
20	everybody. I'll start at this end with Ms. Mobley.
21	Ms. Mobley, what kind of jury did you serve on?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOBLEY: It was probably
23	district it was about 30 years ago, so I'm not really
24	sure, but it was not a federal case. It was here in Grand
25	Rapids.
	1 7

\_\_\_\_17-

1	THE COURT: Okay. Do you remember if it was
2	civil or criminal?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOBLEY: (No audible
4	response.)
5	THE COURT: Anything about that experience stick
6	with you?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOBLEY: Yes, but it has
8	nothing to do with has nothing to do with my ability to
9	do this.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOBLEY: One of the jurors, it
12	was back in the day when people could smoke in buildings.
13	THE COURT: Oh.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOBLEY: One of the fellow
15	jurors, when we were deliberating, went pulled out a
16	cigarette and went around the room and asked every single
17	person if it was okay if he smoked, and I happened to be
18	sitting here, so I was the last person he asked. It was
19	like a psychology experiment. Everybody said, "No, that's
20	fine," and when he got to me I said, "Well, actually, I
21	prefer you not," and he said, "Okay, I'll blow it over
22	there." So that's what I remember about the case.
23	THE COURT: Times have changed, hey?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOBLEY: Fortunately, yeah.
25	THE COURT: All right. Who is next? Who raised

\_\_\_\_18-

1	their hand? Ms. Carter?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: I was on a criminal
3	case.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: It was a murder trial
6	in Saginaw County. And I can't tell you how many years
7	ago. It wasn't that long ago (unintelligible.)
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: And then I had a
10	civil case prior to that in the same county.
11	THE COURT: Okay. How long did each of those
12	trials last?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Well, it was a
14	different system there. We sat around and waited for a
15	trial.
16	THE COURT: Yeah.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: So it wasn't like you
18	were, you know, asked in and then because you had a trial,
19	it's just you were asked in to wait to see if something
20	opened, so.
21	THE COURT: You met in a big common room?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Correct, yes. And we
23	waited for a trial. And then trial lasted about three or
24	four days (unintelligible.)
25	THE COURT: Okay.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: It was a long
2	process.
3	THE COURT: In the criminal trial, did you
4	deliberate to a verdict?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Yes.
6	THE COURT: And what was the verdict?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Guilty.
8	THE COURT: Okay. How about the civil case?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Not guilty.
10	THE COURT: Okay. So when you say "not guilty,"
11	and we'll talk about this more later, but in a criminal
12	case, the options for a jury typically are guilty and not
13	guilty. In a civil case it's different, because in a civil
14	case what the parties in this case, Mr. Bey Dykes-Bey is
15	seeking essentially is money damages. So it's either, you
16	know, you find that he has proven his claim or that he has
17	not proven his claim.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Well, we
19	THE COURT: So in the civil case you found that
20	the plaintiff had not proven the claim?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Correct.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Exactly,
24	(unintelligible.)
25	THE COURT: What what was that case about?

\_\_\_\_20\_

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: It was a money issue.
2	I couldn't tell you exactly the details, it's been so long
3	ago, but
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: we decided that
6	the plaintiff didn't have a case to acquire the damages
7	that they claimed that they were entitled to.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: That was a function
10	of the facts, obviously. So
11	THE COURT: Right.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: it's been a long
13	time since I did that one.
14	THE COURT: Okay, who was next? I saw some
15	other hands. Mr. Moore.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOORE: It was back in the
17	late Eighties and it was a was a district court.
18	THE COURT: Yup.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOORE: It was the Washington
20	State in Tacoma, Washington.
21	THE COURT: So a state district court? Or
22	federal district court?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOORE: I think it was state.
24	It was a Pierce County court.
25	THE COURT: Okay. That would be state court

1 then, yup. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOORE: Thank you. And it was 3 I guess a criminal case. It was a DWI-type case. 4 THE COURT: All right. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOORE: The defendant was 6 found not guilty. 7 THE COURT: Okay. All right, anything stick with you about your service on that jury? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOORE: A lot different then than it is now. It's like Ms. Carter, it was a room of 10 11 about three to five hundred people. 12 THE COURT: Yeah. PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOORE: They pulled you out to 13 go to do what we're doing right here now. 14 THE COURT: I've been called twice in Kent 15 16 County Circuit Court across the street, once since I've 17 been a judge, and for some reason they never want me. I 18 would love to sit on a jury. I've just go to be honest, I think every lawyer, every trial lawyer dreams about sitting 19 20 on a jury. Because when you're a trial lawyer, you know, 21 you do your best, you present your case, you make your arguments, and then the jury goes back in that jury room 22 23 and of course you don't ever find out what goes on back 24 there. So it's like you don't know how -- when you win you 25 don't know why, when you lose you don't know why. You'd

**-**22-

1	just love, as a lawyer, to get back there and see firsthand
2	behind the curtain, but. Mr. Roberts.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROBERTS: Yes, once in 63rd
4	District. I believe it was a civil case.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Judge Smolenski?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROBERTS: Pardon?
7	THE COURT: What judge?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROBERTS: I don't remember.
9	It was quite a few years ago.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROBERTS: And I served once in
12	Grandville. That was a DWI.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And did both of those juries
14	deliberate to a verdict?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROBERTS: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Okay, and what the what was the
17	63rd case about?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROBERTS: That was a medical
19	issue where the plaintiff was suing for some money, and he
20	was it didn't go good for him.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROBERTS: Except in the fact
23	that there was a side note that the defendant said he'd pay
24	for a certain test, and we held him to it.
25	THE COURT: Okay. So it was kind of a split

1	decision, or
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROBERTS: Yeah. It was
3	different.
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROBERTS: And the drunk
6	driving case was, he was found guilty.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Have you, yourselves, any
8	member of your family, or a close friend, ever been
9	involved in a civil lawsuit? Ms. Carter?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: It was quite a long
11	time ago.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Back in like '83,
14	'84. I had a couple of businesses at the time and it was a
15	telephone book kind of thing, advertisement.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: I hadn't signed for,
18	they put it in anyway.
19	THE COURT: Tried to charge you for it?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Correct. And I was
21	found the judgment was in my favor.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: That was it. Really
24	it was a small thing, but a big thing for me back then.
25	THE COURT: Right. So you were the defendant in

1	that case?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Correct, yeah.
3	THE COURT: And it actually went to trial?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Yes, but the
5	THE COURT: And the jury found in your favor?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Correct.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Actually, the judge
9	did.
10	THE COURT: The judge did.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: We didn't have a
12	jury.
13	THE COURT: All right. Was it a small claim
14	court, do you remember?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: (Unintelligible) see,
16	it was well over a thousand dollars, I don't know
17	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: if that would be
19	small claims.
20	THE COURT: Yeah, I don't either, to be honest.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Yeah.
22	THE COURT: Sometimes in small claim court, I
23	think always in small claim court there's not a jury.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Right, yeah.
25	THE COURT: It's a judge deciding.

**—**25**–** 

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: It probably was. I
2	couldn't tell you, but
3	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, you are a
4	sophisticated user of the judicial system.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: I am.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Yes.
8	THE COURT: I would consider that makes you
9	highly qualified.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CARTER: Oh, boy. I was
11	hoping you wouldn't say that.
12	THE COURT: I know you were. All right, does
13	anybody have oop, okay, Mr. Johnson.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR JOHNSON: Yes, my my sister
15	was just involved in a civil case this year.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR JOHNSON: So her husband was
18	working construction and he fell and broke his heel and had
19	a bunch of medical expenses. And the people he was working
20	for didn't want to pay for it, because they didn't want to
21	claim him on his insurance. So they ended up winning and
22	getting the money paid for.
23	THE COURT: Okay, so your sister and her husband
24	were the plaintiffs?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR JOHNSON: Correct.

1	THE COURT: And her the defendant was his
2	employer?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR JOHNSON: Correct.
4	THE COURT: And there was a was there a jury?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR JOHNSON: I I honestly
6	don't know.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR JOHNSON: I just got a message
9	from her saying they had when they went to trial and
10	that they ended up getting money.
11	THE COURT: Oh.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR JOHNSON: I don't know all the
13	specifics.
14	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Does anyone have
15	any training, or has anyone worked in the legal field?
16	Okay, Mr. Moore.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOORE: I'm not sure if it's
18	legal field. Back in the early 2000, after 911 I worked
19	with TSA, Homeland Security, for a couple years.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOORE: So I don't know if
22	that's a legal field or.
23	THE COURT: Yeah. It's, and you know, I'll
24	probably get to that question anyway, but what what
25	in what capacity did you work for TSA?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOORE: I was in Tampa,
2	Florida, and was a screener where I hassled the passengers
3	that came through.
4	THE COURT: Right. Yeah, I remember you. I was
5	on vacation and I missed my connector because of you.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOORE: I've probably got your
7	fingernail clippers or something.
8	THE COURT: Yeah, right.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOORE: Yeah, so I don't know
10	if that would count.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Well, no, I appreciate you
12	you know, we all appreciate you telling us about that. I
13	don't know that that qualifies as a legal field, but my
14	next question would be, is, do you have you ever, any
15	family member or close friend, worked in the law
16	enforcement field? Okay, Ms. Owens.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OWENS: My friend is a
18	policeman.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Where does he work?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OWENS: Kent County.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Do you talk to him about his
22	job?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OWENS: Mostly his wife. His
24	wife and I are very close friends.
25	THE COURT: Okay. All right, anything about

1	your relationship with your friend or her husband who is
2	the police officer that you think would make it difficult
3	for you to be fair and impartial in this case? You know,
4	understanding that Ms. Kerr, at the time of the events
5	here, was an employee of the Michigan Department of
6	Corrections, which is going to be my next question. And
7	so, you know, she was, in fact, a law enforcement officer
8	at the time. Any problems for you posed by that fact?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OWENS: I don't think so.
10	THE COURT: Okay. So that's my next oh, yes,
11	sir? Mr. Johnson.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR JOHNSON: My my friend
13	works as a cop.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR JOHNSON: In Clinton Township.
16	THE COURT: I grew up in Clinton Township.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR JOHNSON: Oh, yeah?
18	THE COURT: Uh-huh. My back fence was the
19	borderline with Mount Clemens.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR JOHNSON: Okay. I don't
21	actively
22	THE COURT: Name's not Bill Yeagley, is it?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR JOHNSON: No. I don't I
24	don't talk to him about his job. If he brings it up, but
25	it's very rarely that he'll talk to me about what he does.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything about having --1 simply having a friend who is a police officer you think 2 3 would make it hard for you to be impartial in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR JOHNSON: I don't think so. 4 5 THE COURT: So my next question is, any -- same 6 question. Have you, family member, close friend, ever 7 worked for the Michigan Department of Corrections? 8 THE JURORS: (No audible response.) 9 THE COURT: Does anybody, in a civil case such as this, your job is to decide whether or not Mr. Dykes-Bey 10 11 has proven his case against Mrs. Kerr. Is there anyone who 12 for religious, moral, ethical, or other reasons believes that you couldn't perform that duty? I mean we don't get a 13 "yes" to that very often, but occasionally we do. There 14 15 are folks who, for religious reasons, think they can't sit 16 in judgment, even on a dispute between, you know, two 17 citizens like this. Does everyone understand that merely being sued, so simply the fact that Mr. Dykes-Bey filed the 18 19 lawsuit, does not necessarily mean that Mrs. Kerr did 20 anything wrong? Anybody -- everybody understand that? 21 THE JURORS: (No audible response.) 22 THE COURT: Anybody not understand that? 23 THE JURORS: (No audible response.) 24 THE COURT: Okay. At the end of the trial I'll 25 be giving you instructions on the law that you have to

**-**30**-**

apply in deciding the case. If any of my instructions are 1 2 in conflict with your own beliefs, or if you personally 3 disagree with my instructions or with the law, will you set aside your personal feelings and decide the case only based 4 5 on the law as I give it to you? Anybody not able to do 6 that? Commit to that? 7 THE JURORS: (No audible response.) 8 THE COURT: Because you have to. I mean I'm 9 going to give you the law. You might not disagree -- you might not agree with the law. I don't agree with all the 10 11 laws, but, you know, it's my job to enforce the laws. It's 12 your job as jurors to follow the law in deciding the issues 13 in this case. Everybody good with that? 14 THE JURORS: (No audible response.) 15 THE COURT: Kind of the other flip side of the coin about simply filing a lawsuit doesn't mean the 16 defendant necessarily did anything. Is there anybody who 17 has a problem with the idea that an injured party can file 18 19 a lawsuit and get damages? Because that, of course, is 20 exactly what Mr. Dykes-Bey is attempting to do in this 21 case. Anybody, for whatever reason, think no, you 22 shouldn't allow that in our society? 23 THE JURORS: (No audible response.) 24 THE COURT: All right, I don't see anybody 25 saying yes. If you're selected to serve on the jury, you

**-**31-

will hear the testimony of Mr. Dykes-Bey and Mrs. Kerr, and 1 2 part of your job will be to decide how credible or 3 believable they are. Now I'll give you some jury instructions, some law that you'll have to follow in making 4 5 that decision about how credible each of them are. But is there anyone of you that believes you can't or will be 6 7 unable to decide on that issue of credibility? 8 THE JURORS: (No audible response.) 9 THE COURT: All right, any other reason that any 10 of you believe you can't serve on this jury, or shouldn't 11 serve on this jury? 12 THE JURORS: (No audible response.) 13 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Dykes-Bey, I'm going to give you your chance now. Before we do that, I'd like 14 15 to have a sidebar with Mr. Dean and Mr. Dykes-Bey. So Stephanie, from time to time I'll talk to Mr. Dykes-Bey and 16 17 Mr. Dean up here. That's called a sidebar, because it's on 18 the side. You can cut across. That's fine. And when we 19 do that, Stephanie's going to turn on some white noise so 20 that you all can't hear what we're talking about. 21 (From 9:44 am to 9:45 a.m., off the record bench 22 conference with white noise.) 23 THE COURT: Before you start, Mr. Dykes-Bey, I 24 just wanted to say one other thing to the jurors about the 25 trial. I don't -- I quess I didn't say this, but our

**-**32-

schedule each day, today and tomorrow, will be -- we're 1 2 going to start at 9 o'clock -- all right, Stef, I'm going 3 to forget the times. We're going to start at 9 o'clock. We're going to go until 10:15? 4 5 THE CLERK: 10:30. 6 THE COURT: 10:30. We'll take a 15 minute 7 break, we'll come back at 10:45, we'll go to 12:15. 12:15? We'll take a 15 minute break, and then we'll come back and 8 9 we'll go to 2, and we're going to adjourn for the day at 2 each day of the trial. So that will be our schedule. Now 10 11 we do that for a couple reasons. One is, you know, 12 Stephanie and I have a lot of other cases, you know, that 13 we're -- other plates we're juggling at the same time, so we need court time to take care of those matters. 14 15 One of the benefits, however, for you we have found from past jurors is you miss the traffic. So if you 16 17 have to be here at 9, you don't get in that big snarl that 18 occurs every morning here, and you miss the snarl on the 19 way home. In the past, I'm not saying you have to like it, 20 but in the past our feedback from jurors has been pretty 21 positive about that schedule. So that will be our 22 schedule. 23 All right, Mr. Dykes-Bey, voir dire. The floor 24 is yours. You want to come to the podium and ask away.

MR. DYKES-BEY: (Unintelligible) you covered

25

1	everything, but I just got one question. Have any of you
2	or any member of your family or a close friend been
3	convicted of a crime or victim of a crime? Okay.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Moore. I'll help you with some
5	names, since I've got the seating chart.
6	MR. DYKES-BEY: Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Sure.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOORE: My brother, when he
9	was a child, was convicted of (unintelligible). I guess he
10	was put like in a kids
11	MR. DYKES-BEY: Youth home type.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOORE: Or no, not a home. It
13	was more fenced in.
14	MR. DYKES-BEY: Oh.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOORE: Him and some friends
16	beat up somebody and he was put away for a couple years. I
17	don't really I was in the military at the time, so I
18	don't really know much about it.
19	MR. DYKES-BEY: Okay.
20	THE COURT: Ms. Mobley.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOBLEY: Yeah, my daughter was
22	convicted of a repeat DUI, and was in jail for three weeks.
23	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Tice. We haven't
24	from you yet, have we?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TICE: Yeah.

1	THE COURT: Oh, good.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TICE: My son was incarcerated
3	for a year for beating up someone. And our son-in-law just
4	went to to jail for raping a (unintelligible).
5	MR. DYKES-BEY: Is that it?
6	THE COURT: Mr. Roberts.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROBERTS: My son was convicted
8	of breaking and entering.
9	MR. DYKES-BEY: Okay. And as I said, the judge
10	basically covered everything. Thank you.
11	THE COURT: All right. And may I say, "Kids,
12	huh?" Mr. Dean.
13	MR. DEAN: Thank you, your Honor. Good morning.
14	I work for the Michigan Attorney General, which, as you
15	know, the attorney general itself is an elected office, and
16	you may or may not agree with his politics. Is that
17	standing in the way of you being able to judge this case?
18	I'm not on the political end of it. I'm a career, so you
19	don't have to worry about me one way or the other. How
20	about corrections? Has anyone here visited a Michigan
21	Department of Corrections prison or jail? Okay.
22	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My daughter was
23	in jail, Kent County. We visited her, yes.
24	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My son
25	(unintelligible.)

**—**35**–** 

1	MR. DEAN: And which, was he in prison or jail?
2	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Muskegon
3	Correction Center.
4	MR. DEAN: Okay. And you visited?
5	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
6	MR. DEAN: Okay. Yes, sir?
7	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Kent County
8	Jail.
9	MR. DEAN: Okay. Anything about your visits
10	that gave you kind of a one-sided view of, and would affect
11	your ability to be a juror here today?
12	PROSPECTIVE JURORS: (No audible response.)
13	MR. DEAN: You're going to hear from
14	Mr. Dykes-Bey first, and I know that there's a human kind
15	of a prejudice that the first thing you hear has undue
16	importance sometimes, and then after he testifies, you're
17	going to hear from Nancy. So I want to just make sure that
18	each of you will have the ability to withhold judgment in
19	what you think of this case until you've heard both sides.
20	That's all I have. Thank you.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Now I'm going to have the
22	lawyers come up. Mr. Dykes-Bey, did that, any other
23	question you wanted to ask that Mr. Dean
24	MR. DYKES-BEY: No, that's
25	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to have them come

**—**36-

1	up, we're going to have a conversation, again the white
2	noise will be on. So we'll be back with you momentarily.
3	Gentlemen?
4	(From 9:51 a.m. to 9:5 a.m., off the record
5	bench conference with white noise.)
6	THE COURT: We're going to take a short pause
7	here. One of the jurors in the back had to take a short
8	break. All right, back in business. All right,
9	Mr. Keeler, I've discussed with the lawyers your son's
10	situation and have reason to believe that it's very
11	unlikely the day care will take him because that disease is
12	so infectious that if he's there and he poses a risk of
13	infecting all the other kids, and so we've agreed that
14	under those circumstances, you know, your best place is
15	home taking care of your son. So we're going to excuse you
16	from the jury. Thank you for coming down, and we
17	appreciate your time, and you may go.
18	MR. KEELER: (Through interpreter.) Okay, thank
19	you. You're welcome.
20	THE COURT: Ladies, thank you for your service.
21	We appreciate you coming down.
22	INTERPRETER OAKLEY-STANAWAY: Thank you.
23	THE COURT: Yup.
24	INTERPRETER CREASY: Thank you.
25	THE COURT: You're welcome. I'm happy to know

-37

1	there is such a service. I'm sure it will come up again,
2	so
3	MR. KEELER: Thank you, sir.
4	THE COURT: All right, what we're going to do
5	next is call a name. This will be the ninth name which was
6	randomly selected by the computer, so if your name is
7	called, please come forward and take seat seven.
8	THE CLERK: Juror number 50, Denise
9	Elizabeth-Dil Cripps.
10	THE COURT: Thank you. And that seat now, of
11	course, is known as the hot seat, so. All right, Ms., and
12	is Dil I see Eliz in my crazy piece of paper here the
13	hyphen appears between Elizabeth and Dil. Is your last
14	how do you wish to be referred to?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CRIPPS: My last name is
16	Cripps.
17	THE COURT: Cripps? Okay. Ms. Cripps, you
18	heard the questions that I asked to the folks up here. Are
19	there any would you have answered any of those questions
20	in a way that you think we'd be interested in, that we need
21	to know about?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CRIPPS: No, I think I
23	don't have anything to add.
24	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to just ask
25	you a couple.

**—**38**–** 

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CRIPPS: Sure.
2	THE COURT: All right, can you think of any
3	reason, you've heard some now, you've heard both from
4	Mr. Dykes-Bey, you've heard from Mr. Dean, you've heard me
5	blabber on. Anything about this situation that you think
6	would make it hard for you to be fair and impartial?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CRIPPS: (No audible
8	response.)
9	THE COURT: Any connections to Michigan
10	Department of Corrections, Michigan Attorney General, law
11	enforcement, that you think would make it difficult for you
12	to serve?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CRIPPS: No.
14	THE COURT: Or might slant your view of the
15	evidence in any way?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CRIPPS: No. No problem.
17	THE COURT: All right. Have you heard anything
18	about the case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CRIPPS: No.
20	THE COURT: Do you know Mr. Dykes-Bey, Mr. Dean,
21	or Ms. Kerr?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CRIPPS: No.
23	THE COURT: All right. Gentlemen, questions?
24	Mr. Dykes-Bey, any questions
25	MR. DYKES-BEY: No.
	3.0

**—**39**–** 

THE COURT: -- for Ms. Cripps? 1 2 MR. DYKES-BEY: No, sir. 3 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Dean? 4 MR. DEAN: No, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: All right. What we're going to do 6 next is I'm going to have Mr. Dykes-Bey and Mr. Dean come 7 up again and we'll put the white noise on again, and we'll 8 talk about you folks who are in the box. And we may or may 9 not excuse some of you. We'll see. But it will take us a minute. And this is the way the process will work until 10 11 we've got the eight lucky finalists. 12 (From 9:59 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., off the record bench conference.) 13 THE COURT: Thanks, Stef. We're going to do 14 15 this one at a time, so more than one of you are likely to 16 be excused, but we're going to do it one at a time and 17 refill your seats one at a time, for reasons that I quess 18 don't really probably matter to you, but it's a more 19 deliberate process that way. So the first person that 20 we're going to excuse, and I'm sure she won't be 21 disappointed to learn this, is Ms. Carter. 22 MS. CARTER: Okay. 23 THE COURT: So thank you for coming down. 24 Appreciate you taking the time. Sorry to hear about the 25 death in your family.

**-4**0-

1	MS. CARTER: Thank you very much.
2	THE COURT: You're welcome.
3	MS. CARTER: Appreciate it.
4	THE COURT: You're welcome. Be safe, and I mean
5	that. Especially when you're in the captain's chair and
6	I'm in the cabin, right?
7	MS. CARTER: We try.
8	THE COURT: I know you do. My dad was a pilot,
9	so.
10	MS. CARTER: Oh, great. Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Yup. Uh-huh.
12	THE CLERK: Juror number 11, Debbie Lynn
13	Kaldenberg.
14	THE COURT: Thank you. All right, hi,
15	Ms. Kaldenberg.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR KALDENBERG: Hello.
17	THE COURT: How are you this morning?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR KALDENBERG: Well, thank you.
19	THE COURT: Good. Anything that you think we
20	should know about you, based upon the questions and answers
21	you've heard?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR KALDENBERG: No. I don't have
23	anything anything that would be meaningful to
24	(unintelligible.)
25	THE COURT: All right. Ever been on a jury?

41.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR KALDENBERG: No.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Any connections to Michigan
3	Attorney General, Michigan Department of Corrections, or
4	law enforcement in general?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR KALDENBERG: (Unintelligible.)
6	THE COURT: Any member of your family, close
7	friend, ever been a party to either a civil or a criminal
8	matter?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR KALDENBERG: Well, my son last
10	year was involved in a drunk driving case.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Where was that here in
12	Kent
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR KALDENBERG: That was here in
14	district court.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR KALDENBERG: Judge Smolenski.
17	And and with her advising me and guidance,
18	(unintelligible.)
19	THE COURT: Okay. So did he end up how did
20	his case, was it did it go to trial, or was it resolved
21	some other way?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR KALDENBERG: (Unintelligible.)
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR KALDENBERG: (Unintelligible.)
25	It was just her courtroom.

-42

1	THE COURT: All right, anything else you can
2	think of, I know you've said no, but anything you can think
3	of in your life experience that would make it hard for you
4	to be fair and impartial, based upon what you know about
5	this case?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR KALDENBERG: (Unintelligible.)
7	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dykes-Bey,
8	questions?
9	MR. DYKES-BEY: No, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Dean?
11	MR. DEAN: No, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Okay, gentlemen, come forward.
13	(From 10:03 a.m. to 10:03 a.m., off the record
14	bench conference with white noise.)
15	THE COURT: All right, Ms. Mobley, thank you so
16	much for your time. We appreciate it. Have a wonderful
17	day.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MOBLEY: Thank you.
19	THE COURT: It looks beautiful out there, so. I
20	wish someone would excuse me and I could go to the golf
21	course, but I guess that's not to be.
22	THE CLERK: In seat number eight, juror number
23	23, Amiya Gabrielle Ezell-Taylor.
24	THE COURT: Hi, Ms. Ezell-Taylor, how are you?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR EZELL-TAYLOR: Good.

1	THE COURT: So, you know the question that's
2	coming, right?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR EZELL-TAYLOR: (No audible
4	response.)
5	THE COURT: All right. So what's the answer?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR EZELL-TAYLOR: Nothing that
7	would stop me from being truthful and honest and fair.
8	However, I do have ties to the Department of Corrections.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Tell us about those.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR EZELL-TAYLOR: My dad is
11	incarcerated.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And where where is he
13	housed?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR EZELL-TAYLOR: He lived in
15	Ionia, but he's now in a place, it's by the Mackinac
16	Bridge.
17	THE COURT: Okay. I don't know the name.
18	Mr. Dean, can you help me out?
19	MR. DEAN: There would
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR EZELL-TAYLOR: I want to say
21	it starts with a
22	MR. DEAN: Chippewa or
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR EZELL-TAYLOR:
24	(Unintelligible.) I think it is in the Chippewa.
25	THE COURT: Chippewa County? Yeah. Okay.

1	MR. DEAN: Oh, I'm sorry. Ken Ross Correctional
2	Facility or Chippewa.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR EZELL-TAYLOR: I think he's
4	actually (unintelligible.)
5	THE COURT: Do you have do you go to visit
6	him? Have you been to visit him?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR EZELL-TAYLOR:
8	(Unintelligible.)
9	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that
10	experience which you think would make it difficult for you
11	to be fair and impartial? In other words, you've been in a
12	Michigan prison and had a view of that system, so anything
13	about that experience, visiting him, that you think would
14	affect your ability to decide this case, just on the facts?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR EZELL-TAYLOR: No.
16	THE COURT: Okay. How about on the other side
17	of the coin, any connections to the Michigan Attorney
18	General or anybody who works for Department of Corrections
19	or law enforcement?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR EZELL-TAYLOR: My friend works
21	for the Muskegon Prison. She works in the kitchen.
22	THE COURT: Oh, okay. I wouldn't think that
23	would have an effect, but would that have any effect on
24	your ability to be fair and impartial?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR EZELL-TAYLOR: (No verbal

1	response.)
2	THE COURT: All right, questions, Mr. Dykes-Bey?
3	MR. DYKES-BEY: No, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Dean?
5	MR. DEAN: No, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Gentlemen, come forward.
7	(From 10:06 a.m. to 10:07 a.m., off the record
8	bench conference with white noise.)
9	THE COURT: Did I say, I meant to say if I
10	didn't say, maybe I did say, that each side gets to excuse
11	three of you. So if I didn't say that, I meant to. If so,
12	you kind of know how long this is likely to go on.
13	Mr. Tice Ms. Tice, Mr. Tice, I'm sorry. Ms. Tice,
14	clearly you're not Mr. Tice. Thank you so much for your
15	time.
16	THE CLERK: Seat number five, juror number 48,
17	Madeline Marie Newell New-well.
18	THE COURT: Ms. Newell.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NEWELL: Hi.
20	THE COURT: Hi. Can you be fair and impartial
21	in this case?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NEWELL: I believe so.
23	THE COURT: All right. Any any questions
24	that we've asked that you think we'd be interested in the
25	answer to?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NEWELL: (No verbal response.)
2	THE COURT: Any connections to Department of
3	Corrections, the Attorney General, or law enforcement?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NEWELL: Well, yeah.
5	THE COURT: Okay, tell us about those.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NEWELL: My uncle is a police
7	officer in (unintelligible), and my grandpa used to be a
8	state trooper and he worked up, he was a State
9	Representative for a while.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Your uncle and your grandpa.
11	Where does your uncle work?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NEWELL: Dewitt.
13	THE COURT: All right. So he's is he a
14	member of the Dewitt Police Department?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NEWELL: Uh-huh.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And your grandpa was a
17	Michigan State Police trooper.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NEWELL: (No verbal response.)
19	THE COURT: And then you said he served as a
20	State Rep?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NEWELL: (No verbal response.)
22	THE COURT: Do you talk to your uncle or your
23	grandpa about their experiences as police officers?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NEWELL: (No verbal response.)
25	THE COURT: Is it I'm going to have to ask

1	you to answer "yes" or "no."
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NEWELL: Oh, yeah.
3	THE COURT: Because we're recording everything,
4	and sometimes I understand what you're saying, but later
5	it's hard it could be hard for people to know whether
6	you're saying "uh-huh," or "uh-uh."
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NEWELL: Yeah. Sorry.
8	THE COURT: Anything about those conversations
9	that you think would slant you one way or another?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NEWELL: No.
11	THE COURT: I mean understand that this case is
12	in some ways going to come down to the, you know, who you
13	believe, Mr. Dykes-Bey, who was in the Michigan prison
14	system at the time, or Mrs. Kerr, who was a law enforcement
15	officer.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NEWELL: No, I would like to
17	think that I'm a pretty fair and just person, so I know
18	that those things (unintelligible) affect my views.
19	THE COURT: Okay. I think this is very
20	unlikely, but have you ever sat on a jury before?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NEWELL: (No verbal response.)
22	THE COURT: Mr. Dykes-Bey, questions?
23	MR. DYKES-BEY: No, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Dean?
25	MR. DEAN: No, your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Gentlemen?
2	(From 10:10 a.m. to 10:11 a.m., off the record
3	bench conference with white noise.)
4	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Johnson, thanks for
5	your time.
6	THE CLERK: In seat number three, juror number
7	seven, Alicia Bernice Tumele.
8	THE COURT: All right, is it Tu-mell or Tu-meal?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: It's Tum-e-lee.
10	THE COURT: Not even close.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: I know.
12	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: I spell it
14	differently. I'm a teacher, so I spell it differently on
15	my board, just so my students can get it right.
16	THE COURT: Ah.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: Yeah.
18	THE COURT: Wise. Although not, yeah, that
19	would have been good.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: Yeah.
21	THE COURT: Ms. Tumele, you think you can be
22	fair and impartial?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: (No verbal response.)
24	THE COURT: Heard anything that would any
25	answer that you would give to any of those questions that

**-**49

1	would cause us concern?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: No.
3	THE COURT: Ever been on a jury?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: No.
5	THE COURT: Any connections to law enforcement,
6	Department of Corrections, or the Attorney General's
7	Office.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: My dad was a parole
9	officer.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Where?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: Muskegon County.
12	THE COURT: Is he still?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: No. Retired.
14	THE COURT: How long has he been retired?
15	Ballpark?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: Five years-ish.
17	THE COURT: All right. How long was he a parole
18	officer in Muskegon?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: Probably twenty
20	years, maybe.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: Maybe, no, you know,
23	it's probably not that long. Maybe ten or fifteen years.
24	THE COURT: So as a parole officer, did he work
25	with folks who were out of prison, back in the community?

<del>-----</del>50-

1	Is that what
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: Right.
3	THE COURT: his job was?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: Correct, yes.
5	THE COURT: So just so folks understand the way
6	it works in Michigan, somebody who is incarcerated in the
7	Michigan Department of Corrections in a prison, many or
8	most of those folks will come up for parole eventually.
9	And if they they go before a parole board. If they're
10	paroled, then they're returned to the community, but they
11	remain under court supervision. So under the supervision
12	of somebody like Ms. Tumele's dad. So I'm guessing your
13	dad probably came home from work from time to time and
14	talked about the job.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: Yeah.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So anything about those
17	conversations that you think would make it difficult for
18	you to be fair and impartial towards Mr. Dean, who, you
19	know, was in prison at the time of these events?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TUMELE: No.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Dean or Mr. Dykes-Bey,
22	questions?
23	MR. DYKES-BEY: No, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Dean?
25	MR. DEAN: No, your Honor.
	51

**-**51**-**

1	THE COURT: All right, gentlemen?
2	(From 10:14 a.m. to 10:15 a.m., off the record
3	bench conference with white noise.)
4	THE COURT: All right, Ms. Ezell-Taylor, thank
5	you. Appreciate your time.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR EZELL-TAYLOR: I enjoyed it.
7	THE COURT: We appreciate you coming. Thank
8	you.
9	THE CLERK: In seat number eight, juror number
10	46, Destiny Lynn Totten.
11	THE COURT: All right, so first question for you
12	is, was your great grandfather a principal at Seminole
13	Elementary School in Mount Clemens, Michigan?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TOTTEN: That I wouldn't know
15	because I married into the name.
16	THE COURT: Ah. Okay. All right, fair enough.
17	Because if so, he had a paddle, and I'm going to tell you
18	something, he was not afraid to use it. Back in those
19	days. All right, any do you think you can be fair and
20	impartial to both parties in this case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TOTTEN: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Any connections to law enforcement,
23	Michigan Attorney General, or the Department of
24	Corrections.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TOTTEN: No.

1	THE COURT: Ever been on a jury?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TOTTEN: No.
3	THE COURT: Have family member, close friend,
4	you, yourself, ever been involved in a criminal or civil
5	case?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TOTTEN: No.
7	THE COURT: Just too easy answers. Got to be
8	something. I just need to dig deeper, huh.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TOTTEN: Yeah.
10	THE COURT: What's your favorite TV show?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TOTTEN: I don't watch TV.
12	THE COURT: All right. How do you spend your
13	free time?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TOTTEN: I'm a farmer.
15	THE COURT: Okay, you don't have free time is
16	what you're saying.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TOTTEN: No.
18	THE COURT: Okay. What what do you farm?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TOTTEN: Cows.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Dairy or beef?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TOTTEN: Beef.
22	THE COURT: Whereabouts?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TOTTEN: Rodney.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Rodney. I have a place up
25	there not far from Rodney. I actually drive through Rodney

**—**53**–** 

1	all the time.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TOTTEN: Let me guess,
3	Canadian Lakes.
4	THE COURT: Well, it's near there. It's close
5	to there. I stop at that whatever, what is that, a Mobile
6	station?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TOTTEN: The Rodney General.
8	THE COURT: Yeah, exactly.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TOTTEN: Yeah, the only thing
10	in Rodney.
11	THE COURT: The only thing. Well, no. There's
12	the bar or restaurant across the street.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR TOTTEN: Oh, yeah. Don't
14	forget that.
15	THE COURT: Gentlemen, I have exhausted, you
16	know, my questions here. I've got nothing for Ms. Totten.
17	Other than resentment towards her great grandfather for the
18	spankings he laid on me when I was an elementary school
19	student. All right, come forward.
20	(From 10:18 a.m. to 10:18 a.m., off the record
21	bench conference with white noise.)
22	THE COURT: All right, I'm happy to say that you
23	eight are the jury. So I'm equally happy to say that you
24	folks in the back of the courtroom are free to go. You're
25	excused. Go forth, create no chaos. Do good works. Enjoy

\_\_\_\_54\_

1	the day.
2	My only question for you is how can you get
3	along with a purse that small?
4	UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: It's a diaper bag,
5	actually.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Carpenter, let me know
7	when you're ready.
8	THE CLERK: I'm ready.
9	THE COURT: All right. The parties being
10	satisfied with the composition of the jury, I now ask
11	Ms. Carpenter, the courtroom deputy, to administer the oath
12	to the jury. After the oath is taken, we're going to take
13	a break. We'll Ms. Carpenter will take you back, show
14	you where the jury room is, and then we'll get started with
15	the evidence.
16	THE CLERK: If you would all rise and raise your
17	right hands.
18	Do you and each of you swear or affirm that you
19	will well and truly try the matters in issue now on trial
20	and render a true verdict according to the law and the
21	evidence, so help you God? If so, say "I do."
22	THE JURORS: I do.
23	(At 10:20 a.m., jurors sworn.)
24	THE CLERK: You may be seated.
25	THE COURT: All right, we'll take a break for

**—**55**–** 

about 15 minutes, and then we'll come back. I'm going to 1 2 give you some preliminary instructions to help you 3 understand the process that's about to unfold before your eyes, so we'll be -- come back and we'll come and get you, 4 but it will be 15 minutes, might be a little bit longer. 5 6 (At 10:21 a.m., court in recess.) 7 (At 10:44 a.m., court back in session, all parties and jury present.) 8 9 THE CLERK: Please be seated. THE COURT: All right, before we get rolling, I 10 11 want to introduce you to Jim Dion. Jim is my law clerk. 12 He's the real judge here, so he does all the work. I'm 13 just the pretty face. And Faith Webb is my judicial assistant, so she is the boss and runs the whole operation. 14 15 So you may be interacting with them. If you push the button and need something back in the jury room, it could 16 17 be one of them or Stephanie who comes to answer, so I just 18 wanted you to know who they were. So thanks, guys. All right, we're going to start. I'm going to 19 20 read the preliminary instructions, then we'll hear opening 21 statements from the lawyers. I'll have something to say 22 about that before they give them. And then it will be time 23 for Mr. Dykes-Bey to begin presenting his evidence. So 24 preliminary instructions.

Members of the jury, we're about to begin the

trial of the case about which you've heard some detail during the process of jury selection. Before the trial begins, however, there are certain instructions you should have in order to better understand what will be presented before you, and how you should conduct yourselves during the trial. To the extent that some of these instructions may be repetitive, it's because they're very important to the proper performance of your duties as jurors.

The party who brings a lawsuit is called the plaintiff. In this action the plaintiff is Robert L.

Dykes-Bey. The party against whom the suit is brought is called the defendant. In this action the defendant is

Nancy Marshall, now Nancy --

MS. KERR: Kerr.

THE COURT: Kerr. Sorry. Your verdict will decide disputed issues of fact. You, and you alone, are the judges of the facts. You will then have to apply those facts to the law as I will instruct you from time to time. You must follow the law as I explain it to you, whether or not you agree with the law.

As I said before, I don't necessarily agree with all the laws, but just like you, I have to follow them.

I will decide all questions of law that arise during the trial. And before you retire to deliberate at the close of the case, I will instruct you on the law that

you must follow and apply in deciding your verdict.

Nothing that I may say or do during the course of the trial is intended to indicate, nor should be taken by you as indicating what your verdict should be. During the course of the trial I may occasionally ask questions of a witness in order to bring out facts not then fully covered in the testimony. Please do not assume that I hold any opinion on the matters to which my questions may have related. Remember that you, as jurors, are at liberty to disregard all comments of the Court in arriving at your own findings as to the facts.

From time to time during the trial I may be called upon to make rulings of law on objections or motions made by Mr. Dykes-Bey and Mr. Dean. It is the duty of Mr. Dykes-Bey and Mr. Dean to object when the other side offers testimony or other evidence which they believe is not properly admissible. You should not show prejudice against Mr. Dykes-Bey or Mr. Dean or his client because he has made objections. You will notice that we do not have an official court reporter making a record of the trial, so we will not have any typewritten transcripts of the record available for your use in reaching the decision of this case.

Your deliberations will be secret. You will never have to explain your verdict to anybody. You will,

**-**58-

hopefully, collaborate on your memory of what was said and what you heard in the courtroom, what you saw in the courtroom, then once you determine what the facts are, apply them to the law as I give you the law in the instructions.

I cannot emphasize enough that your decision in this case at the end of the day -- the end of the trial must be based only on what you hear in the courtroom and what you see in the courtroom. I will ask the courtroom deputy to collect your cellular devices prior to your entering the jury room to deliberate. Now, we're not going to do that before deliberations, so you can have them back there as the trial goes on, but when you're deliberating, no cell phones, no laptops, iPads, anything like that.

I hope that when you spend time together in the jury room you'll understand that you cannot discuss the outcome of the case until such time as it has been handed over to you to decide. Nothing is final until all the evidence is in and all the arguments have been made. And that is when you reach a decision based upon your deliberations in the jury room.

The parties are both very well prepared, they're knowledgeable, and they will present to you the things that they think you need to know to make your decision in this case.

Since you will be called upon to decide the facts of the case, you should give careful attention to the testimony and evidence presented for your consideration, bearing in mind that I'll instruct you further at the end of the trial. When observing the testimony and making your decision, you must do so without bias or prejudice towards any person. The parties are equal in the eyes of the law.

During the trial in deciding what facts are, you must consider all the evidence. The evidence from which you will find the facts will consist of the testimony of witnesses, documents, and other things received into the record as evidence, and in facts which the parties have agreed or stipulated to.

Certain things are not evidence and must not be considered by you in reaching your decision. Those include the final arguments and questions by the parties.

Objections to questions are not evidence. You should not be influenced by an objection or by my ruling on an objection. If the objection is overruled, treat the answer like any other. If the objection is sustained, ignore the question. If you're instructed that some item of evidence is received only for a limited purpose, you must follow the instruction that I give you about what that limited purpose is.

Testimony that I exclude or tell you to

disregard is not evidence and must not be considered.

Anything you see or hear outside the courtroom is not evidence and must be disregarded. You are here to decide the case solely on the evidence presented here in the courtroom.

There are two kinds of evidence, direct, and indirect. Direct evidence means a fact was proved by a document or some other item, or by the testimony of a witness who heard or saw the fact directly. Indirect evidence, sometimes called circumstantial evidence, is proof of facts from which you may infer or conclude that another fact exists. A wet raincoat is one example. The water on a raincoat is not direct evidence that it's raining outside. Direct evidence would come from someone who was out in the rain, or someone who looked out the window and saw the rain. The water on a raincoat worn by someone who just came in from outside, however, is indirect or circumstantial evidence that it's raining outside.

I will give you further instructions on these, as well as other matters at the end of the case. But keep in mind that you may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in reaching your decision. You are to consider only the evidence in the case, however. But in consideration of the evidence, you're not limited to the bare statements of the witnesses. In other words, you're

**—**61**–** 

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not limited solely to what you see and hear as the witnesses testify. You are permitted to draw from the facts which you find have been proved such reasonable inferences as you feel are justified in light of your experience.

In deciding the facts and considering the evidence, you must decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe, and how much of any witness's testimony to accept or to reject. You may disbelieve all or any part of any witness's testimony. In making that decision, you may take into account a number of factors, including the following. Was the witness able to see or hear or know the things about which that witness testified? How well was the witness able to recall and describe those things? What it was witness's manner while testifying? Did the witness have an interest in the outcome of this case or any bias or prejudice concerning any party or any matter involved in the case? How reasonable was the witness's testimony considered in light of all the other evidence in the case? Was the witness's testimony contradicted by what that witness has said or done at another time, or by the testimony of other witnesses or other evidence? In deciding whether or not to believe a witness, keep in mind that people sometimes forget things. You need to consider, therefore, whether a

-62-

contradiction is an innocent lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood, and that may depend on whether it has the do with an important fact, or only with a small detail. These are some of the factors you may consider in deciding whether to believe testimony.

This is a civil case. The plaintiff has the burden of proving his case by what is called the preponderance of the evidence. That means the plaintiff has to produce evidence which, considered in light of all the facts, leads you to believe that what plaintiff claims is more likely true than not true. Now a few words about your conduct as jurors, some basic ground rules.

First, during the time you are a juror in this case, do not discuss the case or what you are doing with anyone, or permit anyone to discuss it with you. Until you retire to the jury room at the end of the case to deliberate on your verdict, you simply are not to talk about this case even with each other. If anyone tries to talk to you about it, bring it to my attention promptly. The important point to remember is that in our system of justice, conclusions to be reached in a case must be based only on evidence and argument in open court, and not by any outside influence.

If a juror talks to or communicates with persons they shouldn't, there may well be a presumption of juror

prejudice which could derail the whole trial process.

In addition to not discussing the case with anyone in person or on the phone, do not use any electronic devices to communicate about the case or what you are doing with anyone until you've reached your final conclusion in the case and you are told that you can discuss the case under the conditions that I will describe to you at that time.

It would violate your oath, for example, to text a family member, friend, or the media about what is happening during the trial or while you are in the jury room. Do not use your cell phone, BlackBerry, iPhone, e-mail, social networking sites like Twitter, Facebook, to post or even mention that you are on jury duty. The risk is that such communications, whether or not you intend to, would involve people who are not jurors in possibly influencing you in your decision at the conclusion of the case. These people have not taken your oath to make a decision based solely on the evidence that you hear in court. Remember that both parties are entitled to a fair trial by you, and you must follow the instructions as to the law that I will give you at the end of the trial.

Second, do not read or listen to anything touching on the case. This includes any research or investigation about the case on your own, including any

research on the Internet. In this electronic age, vast amounts of information and misinformation are almost instantly available. But the only information you may consider in performing your duties as jurors is what you see and hear in the courtroom. Things like Wikipedia and search engines like Google are out of bounds to look up anything about the case. All of these cautions are aimed at ensuring that you do not form any opinion until all the evidence is in, and that any opinion you do form is based solely on the testimony and evidence you hear and see in the courtroom. Keep an open mind until you start your deliberations at the end of the case.

If you become aware of any violation of these ground rules, let me know immediately, even if it involves another juror.

The case will proceed in the following order.

First, Mr. Dykes-Bey will make an opening statement.

Following his opening statement, Mr. Dean may make an opening statement, or he may defer making his opening statement until after Mr. Dykes-Bey has concluded presenting his evidence. Neither party is required to make an opening statement. Please remember that what is said by Mr. Dykes-Bey and Mr. Dean in opening statement is not itself evidence, but is simply designed to provide you with an introduction as to what each side believes the evidence

1 will be.

Second, Mr. Dykes-Bey will introduce testimony.

He will take the witness stand and testify in his own

behalf, and he may present other evidence in the form of

documents that have been admitted into evidence as exhibits

in the case.

At the conclusion of Mr. Dykes-Bey's case,
Mr. Dean may introduce evidence. I'm expecting Mr. Dean to
call Mrs. Kerr as a witness, and Mr. Dean may also use some
of the documents which have been admitted as exhibits.

After -- if Mr. Dean in fact introduces evidence,
Mr. Dykes-Bey then could, if he chose to, but he doesn't
have to, introduce what we call rebuttal evidence.

Third, I will instruct you on the law which you are to apply in reaching your verdict. These instructions will be in two forms. I'll read them to you, and I'll ask even now that you be sure to listen carefully when I read them to you at the end of the evidence. And I'll provide a copy of the instructions to take back to the jury room with you so you can refer to them if you want to during your deliberations.

Many jurors have told me that these hard copies are very helpful. The parties will present closing arguments to you as to what they consider the evidence has shown, and as to the inferences which they contend you

should draw from that evidence.

Like opening statement, what is said in closing argument is not itself evidence. The arguments are designed by Mr. Dykes-Bey and Mr. Dean to present to you the contentions of the parties based on the evidence introduced. Mr. Dykes-Bey will go first because he has the burden of proof. Mr. Dean will go second, and then Mr. Dykes-Bey will be allowed to make what's called a rebuttal argument. So after Mr. Dean goes, Mr. Dykes-Bey will be able to get back up and briefly address whatever points he thinks he needs to, based upon what Mr. Dean has argued.

After the evidence has been heard, the arguments and instructions are concluded, you will as a group discuss this matter among yourselves in the jury room and reach a conclusion called a verdict. You will determine the facts from all the testimony that you hear and from the other evidence that is submitted. You are the sole and exclusive judges of the facts, and in that field neither I, nor anyone else may invade your province. However, you are bound to accept the rules of law that I give you, whether you agree with them or not.

You will not be required to remain together while court is in recess. It's important that you obey the following instructions with reference to the recesses of

the court. Do not discuss the case either among yourselves or with anyone else during the course of the trial. In fairness to the parties, you should keep an open mind throughout the trial, reaching your conclusion only during your final deliberations after all the evidence is in.

Though it's normal human tendency to talk to people with whom one is thrown into contact, please do not, during the time you serve on this jury, talk whether in or out of the courtroom, with any of the parties or Mr. Dean, the attorney, and there aren't any other witnesses. So don't talk to anybody, basically. By this I mean not only don't talk about the case, but don't talk at all, even to pass the time of day. So if, for example, you were to run into Mr. Dykes-Bey or Mr. Dean in the elevator, you know, please don't even say hi. I know it seems funny or silly, but really in no other way than that can both sides be assured of the absolute impartiality which they are entitled to from you as jurors.

Do not make up your mind about what the conclusion of this matter should be until after you've gone to the jury room to decide the case and you and your fellow jurors have discussed the evidence. Make every effort to keep an open mind. We will handle this case as quickly as we can so as to respect your time. However, we can't rush things. The trial must be fair. We have to follow the law

**-**68-

and the rules.

We all have busy lives. We know that you're performing a great service by your presence here, and I'm sure that both sides greatly appreciate that.

There will be times when breaks will be necessary for legal matters to be considered. This would be in addition to the 15 minute schedule breaks that I talked about earlier. We'll try to keep those unscheduled breaks as brief as possible, but they'll happen, and you should be prepared for that.

Finally, I want you to know that if you have any problems, if you can't hear, you can't see, you personally need a break, just let me know by raising your hand or in some other way, and I'll do whatever I can to deal with the situation. Just don't be shy in letting me know if you have particular accommodations that are needed.

All of the exhibits in the case have been preadmitted into evidence, so sometimes in a case, you know, a lawyer will show a party, a witness, a document and say, "Do you recognize this?" "Yes." "What is it?" "It's a contract," you know. You know, "Who negotiated it," you know, bla bla bla. So we call that laying the foundation for that exhibit, and if all the elements of the foundation are met, then we allow the case to become part of the -- the document to become part of the evidence in the case.

**-**69-

In this case we've looked at the documents ahead of time. 1 2 The parties have made their arguments, I've ruled, so all 3 of the documents which are all contained in this exhibit book have already been admitted into evidence. So the 4 5 parties can use them for whatever purpose they want, and 6 you'll be able to -- you'll have a copy of this book to 7 take back to the jury room with you when you deliberate. Certain of the exhibits, and we'll remind you of 8 9 this later, Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, 14, and 16, are grievances filed by Mr. Dykes-Bey. They are not admitted into 10 11 evidence in this case for the truth of what is said in 12 those grievances. Rather, they are admitted for the 13 limited purpose of showing that Mr. Dykes-Bey filed these grievances. So as I said, we'll talk to you -- we'll 14 15 remind you of that fact later on. Those conclude my initial instructions and 16 17 brings us now to opening statements. Mr. Dykes-Bey will go first. I've put a limit on the parties of 20 minutes each 18 19 for their opening statements. I don't know if they'll use 20 all that, but that's all they get. So Mr. Dykes-Bey, you 21 want to come to the podium and --22 MR. DYKES-BEY: Yeah. 23 THE COURT: -- deliver your opening statement? 24 MR. DYKES-BEY: I really don't know -- have 25 I just want you to know that it's a real simple case much.

**-**70-

in this, it deals with the facts. The facts are very easily to comprehend. It's not complicated, and it really shouldn't take that long. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Dean?

MR. DEAN: That's going to be hard to follow in its brevity, so I'll keep it short myself. First of all, I'd like to thank all of you for your time and service as a jury member. I know a lot of people kind of inwardly groan when they're told they have to go to jury duty, but I've found over the years of doing this -- these cases, these prisoner cases, it kind of opens up a world that most people don't get to see or hear about. I know before I had this job, my first -- my only knowledge of prison life was Shawshank Redemption, so it's a vastly different world, and I think it will be enlightening when you get to hear all the testimony.

Judge Kent was correct, every lawyer would love to be part of a jury. I was juror number three in a murder trial last year in Lansing, and survived four rounds of voir dire before the prosecutor finally kicked me off the jury, so I came that close to being in on a murder trial. So I envy you. It's a fun job, I think, and I think you'll find that it's actually pretty interesting.

In terms of this case, Mr. Dykes-Bey is correct, it's a very simple case, and it doesn't get any simpler

than having two witnesses, the plaintiff and the defendant, testifying and presenting evidence before you. I've been involved with cases that take three weeks or longer with 15 to 20 witnesses, and sometimes the complications can be tricky to follow. But in this case we're here for one reason only, and that's Mr. Dykes-Bey's claim that Ms. Nancy Kerr violated his first amendment right to redress the government for grievances, for filing grievances. It's a retaliation case, and it has certain elements.

I'll speak to you for a couple of minutes on those elements, only as a signpost where I think we're going to go with the evidence and testimony here, but keep in mind, as Judge Kent says, he will be the final arbiter of what retaliation claim, the law that you're to follow.

It is my understanding that a first amendment retaliation claim requires three elements for Mr. Dykes-Bey to prove. And the burden of proof is on him to prove his case. The first element is pretty simple, it's he has to be engaged in protected conduct. In this case he filed a number of grievances against Ms. Kerr and other individuals working in the food service of the Michigan Reformatory, and as a result of that, he claims that he lost his job. Neither side is disputing that prisoners have a First Amendment right to file grievances, so right off the bat

he's proven element one.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second element is that the defendant has to have taken an adverse act against the prisoner. An adverse act could be multiple things, but in this case, Mr. Dykes-Bey is claiming that being terminated from his food service job at Michigan Reformatory was the adverse act. You will hear testimony from both witnesses, I believe. Nancy Kerr, and she was Nancy Marshall at the time, so a lot of the documents that you'll see in the exhibit book refer to a Ms. Marshall. That's Nancy. She was the classification director at Michigan Reformatory. When prisoners came into the facility, she was in charge of looking at their records, seeing if they had positions open for prisoners, and placing those prisoners in the different jobs. There's quite a few throughout the prison system. There's food service, there's unit porters, there's all kinds of jobs that prisoners can qualify. They can do busy work, landscaping, all types of things. Her job was to place these prisoners in there, in those jobs.

You'll hear testimony that Mr. Dykes-Bey was working in food service. There are exhibits that we expect to show on both sides that show that at some point in 2014 a prisoner who was working in the cafeteria was intercepted by an officer with a note on his person, and we believe that the evidence will show that that note was from

**-**73-

Mr. Dykes-Bey. The note indicated to the prisoner, hey, I need you to pay me for that legal work that I did for you in making arrangements for five bags of coffee to be transferred to him for helping him out with legal work. You'll hear testimony that that is a rules infraction in the Michigan Department of Corrections. Prisoners are not allowed to enter into contracts with each other to provide legal services for each other, and you'll hear the reasons why that's not acceptable.

The other factor that's implicated in the cafeteria job here is we believe the evidence will show that the motivation for terminating him from his assignment is that he was using his work assignment in the cafeteria to conduct this business with this other prisoner, providing legal work, and that that violated the rules of the MDOC. There was no other motivation on the part of Ms. Kerr to terminate him from his assignment.

Now one of the big issues here is that if

Mr. Dykes-Bey conveys that there were issues with how he

was terminated, i.e., the process, what would tell you is

that we're not here for the method or the manner in which

he was terminated from his work assignment. We're here for

one reason only, the retaliatory motive, or when it existed

or not. One of the things that you will hear testimony

from Nancy is that grievances themselves are a normal part

**-**74-

of the prison process. They're nonpunitive. A prisoner files a grievance against an officer, generally speaking, many times the officer doesn't even know that the grievance was filed against them because they don't automatically receive the grievance. It goes to a grievance coordinator who assigns it a number, and that grievance then gets responded to at different levels. Sometimes it does involve the person who is being grieved. They call them in and ask them some questions, whether it's true or not, but many times grievances are resolved at that level and that person never hears about it. Secondly, there's a three-step process to the grievances. And one of the things about federal litigation with prisoners is that prisoners have to do what's known as exhausting their administrative remedies. In other words, they have to take that grievance through the three-step process before they can bring a lawsuit.

So it's common, grievances happen all the time, and it really rarely, if ever, affects the person who is being grieved. It's possible if a prisoner was alleging that an officer sexually assaulted them or physically assaulted them that that grievance might lead to discipline, but in this case I think the evidence will be pretty clear that there were no consequences to the grievance to Ms. Kerr.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So when you evaluate this case and the evidence, what you're going to be looking at is the motivations. Now one of the things that you'll be touched upon in the jury instructions is this idea of credibility, you know, was --what's the motivation for a person for testifying. In this case, as simple as it is, it's a little bit difficult because both parties have a vested interest that you believe them. And Mr. Dykes-Bey wants you to believe that he was retaliated against so that he can get a verdict and potentially a money verdict in his -- on his behalf.

But Ms. Kerr also has a vested interest in you believing her story as well, because in her case, while she's not seeking any money, she's seeking to protect her name, her reputation, or her professional reputation is at stake here because of these allegations. And so when you have this kind of direct conflict between motivations, the question then becomes, well, how do you evaluate the evidence in light of that.

And I will tell you that commonsense will play a big part in your decision. You'll not only be evaluating the demeanor of the two witnesses testifying, but you're going to look outside in your own common experience as to does it make sense that Nancy Kerr retaliated against somebody for filing grievances against her and coworkers when there were no direct consequences to her? She didn't

**-**76-

suffer a termination because of these grievances. I think 1 2 the evidence will show she wasn't even aware of most of the grievances, certainly not the ones against her coworkers, 3 and so you have to evaluate, is it -- does it make sense 4 5 that a classification director who is in charge of hiring and terminating a facility with well over a thousand 6 7 prisoners at all, does it make sense that that would be her 8 motivation. We would argue it's not, and we'll --9 THE COURT: Mr. Dean, I'm going to interrupt you. I hate to do that --10 11 MR. DEAN: Sure. 12 THE COURT: -- during an opening statement. Can 13 I see you and Mr. Dykes-Bey at --14 MR. DEAN: Yeah. 15 THE COURT: -- sidebar please. 16 (From 11:16 a.m. to 11:17 a.m., off the record 17 sidebar conference with white noise.) 18 MR. DEAN: And after all that I was going to 19 conclude anyway. So I thank you for your time. And we're 20 finished. Thank you. 21 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, a legal issue 22 has come up, so here we are already, an unscheduled 23 adjournment is necessary, so I'm going to send you back to 24 the jury room for a brief time, as soon as I find my 25 cautionary instruction. Sometimes in trials I read this **-**77-

every time I send the jury out, and I have come to conclude that it probably isn't necessary, but I'm going to read it to you this time and then remind you of it when we have adjournments in the future.

We're going to break now for -- so that I can deal with this legal issue. In performing your role as a jury, it's important to repeat the ground rules I mentioned in the instructions a moment ago. First, do not discuss the case with anyone, including each other, or permit anyone to discuss it with you. Until you retire to the jury room at the end of the case to deliberate, you're simply not to talk about the case. If anyone tries to talk about it, bring it to my attention.

In addition to not discussing the case, don't communicate using in person, telephone, electronic devices, about the case with anyone until I tell you it's okay to do so, and under what conditions.

Next, don't read or listen or do any research on the Internet using Google or anything else to find out anything about the case. If you don't -- and here's the bottom line. If you don't follow the cautionary instructions, it could pose such a problem in the case, such a defect in the proceedings, that I may have to order a new trial and start the case all over again. Obviously, that would be a huge waste of time and resources, a waste

**-**78-

of your time, my time, and everybody else's time, and we don't want that. So these cautions are aimed at ensuring that you keep an open mind and don't reach any conclusions until you've heard all of the evidence.

So we're going to send you back to the jury room, I'll have one of my staff come back and get you when we're ready to go again.

(At 11:20 a.m., court in recess.)

(At 11:30 a.m., court back in session.)

THE COURT: All right, well, I'm happy to report the parties worked it out among themselves, so we are prepared to proceed. Mr. Dykes-Bey, because he is representing himself and does not have a lawyer, you know, unlike some trials where the plaintiff's lawyer would call the plaintiff to the witness stand and ask the plaintiff a series of questions, obviously Mr. Dykes-Bey is not going to come up and ask himself questions, because that would just be goofy, but he's going to come up, we'll place him under oath, and he will then deliver to you the facts that he believes are important and necessary for you to reach your decision on the issues in this case. When he's finished, Mr. Dean will be able to cross-examine him, in other words Mr. Dean will be able to ask him a series of questions, and then I will allow Mr. Dykes-Bey to give some additional testimony, if he chooses to, in light of the

2425

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	questions that Mr. Dean has asked him on cross-examination.
2	Now on the other side of the coin, just preview
3	of coming attractions, when Mrs. Kerr is called to the
4	witness stand, Mr. Dean will ask her questions, and then
5	Mr. Dikes bay will be able to cross-examine her or ask her
6	whatever questions he thinks are important.
7	So, with that brief introduction and
8	explanation, Mr. Dykes-Bey, you want to come forward and
9	take the witness stand?
10	MR. DYKES-BEY: All right.
11	THE CLERK: If you'll raise your right hand.
12	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you
13	are about to give relevant to this cause now pending before
14	the court is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
15	the truth so help you God?
16	MR. DYKES-BEY: I do.
17	ROBERT L. DYKES-BEY,
18	sworn by the clerk at 11:32 a.m., took the stand
19	and testified upon his oath as follows.
20	THE CLERK: Please be seated.
21	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Dykes-Bey, take it
22	away.
23	DIRECT TESTIMONY
24	THE WITNESS: Oh, right. Again, you know, I
25	won't be long and drawn out. I was incarcerated at

Michigan Reformatory in Ionia, Michigan, from 2013 to 2014.

During that period, I was classified to food service. At
the time this was my only source of income.

In 2014 the Michigan Department of Corrections was making a transitional period. It had delegated its responsibility to feed its prisoners to Aramark, another company. So the employees that were working food service at that time were going out and new people were coming in. A couple of those particular individuals came from Riverside when I was housed at Riverside as well, working the food service at Riverside as well. An officer named Stephen Jones, he used to be a food service supervisor, and when Riverside closed down, he also transferred over to Michigan Reformatory, to continue to be a food service supervisor.

He later became a correctional officer when the Michigan Department of Corrections made its transition. A couple of officers who came in under Aramark was Meadows, North, and Ms. Cordor (ph). I'm only naming these individuals because they obviously have some significance.

When Stephen Jones became a correctional officer, they housed him or placed him in food service as the officer. And he used to make these inappropriate pat-downs. And so I wrote a grievance on him, you know, because of his particular conduct. Anyway, it violated

department policy and procedure, the way he was patting, you know, patting me down. And, you know, he had an issue with it, but that's the way it go.

Meadows, he was a food service supervisor. He was new. He used to try to, you know, talk to me, but I didn't get personal with staff. I don't, you know, I'm confident. I don't, you know, get personal with staff. So I tried to tell him that, but he didn't accept it. And he, you know, got a little bent out of shape about it, so he started targeting me. So I wrote him up as well for discrimination and harassment. I wrote both of these grievances April 21st, 2014.

Six days later, on the 27th, April 27th, Stephen Jones and Meadows both wrote me these counsel reprimands. They was like counsel warnings. I'll show them to you when we get to the exhibits. One was for being argumentative. There is no such rule as being argumentative in the MDOC. That was written by Stephen Jones. The other was written by Meadows. His claim was that the officer, Stephen Jones, sent me back to my housing unit, and he said because I didn't inform him that he was writing me a counsel reprimand. I said, "Man, that's not even a rule," but I understand what they was doing. I'll get to that in a minute, too.

The next day Meadows approached me and say,

listen, I need you to go back in your area of control and wash the walls. I did that. He asked me about it later, "Did you do that assignment I gave you?" I said I did. He said, "No, I think you lying." So he wrote me a ticket for lying to employee, laid me in, tried to get me terminated from my job. That was the goal. That's why they gave me the two counsel reprimands, they trying to give me three, get me terminated from my job because of the grievances I wrote on them.

So I went back to my housing unit, I talked to Lt. Cusack. I asked him, "Listen, go back and review the camera in my area of control." They got cameras all through the MDOC. "Go back and review the camera, you will find out that this man had lied on me." So he went back and reviewed the camera, found out that Meadows had lied. So he ripped the ticket up and let me go back to work.

Meadows, you know, got bent out of shape. He ended up quitting a few days later. He didn't want no pross-up (ph).

So North and the other supervisors, they got bent out of shape about it, you know, so North decided that he was going, you know, unsanitary practices, he stuck his hand in the pan of cookies, contaminated the whole pan, so I told him, "I'm going to write you a grievance for that.

That's unsanitary practice. Nobody want to eat behind you

like that." So later on that day, when we was leaving the chow hall, Stephen Jones was shaking down another prisoner and he found a note on that prisoner. The note allegedly came from me. It was going to another prisoner named Johnson. Well, Johnson approached me and asked me to write a letter for him because he couldn't read and write. He asked me to write a letter for him to the prosecutor's office so he could report a crime. And because they promote that in prison. Everywhere you go, you know, everywhere you walk they got Crime Stoppers everywhere. They encourage prisoners, because you got a lot of unsolved mysteries. And so he wanted to report a crime about a particular murder in his neighborhood, so he asked me to write the letter for him.

And in exchange for the courtesy, he offered to pay me five bags of coffee. Well, I wrote the letter for him or whatever. He end up, you know, getting fired, so we lost contact and I wrote the letter and asked him, said, "Listen, if you're going to do that for me, just bring it to the chow hall, drop it off to Slim in the dish tank, and I'll get it." Well, when Stephen Jones shook this guy down and found the note, Ms. Cordor, that's the supervisor, she was the head of all of them, she said, "Listen, because you didn't actually get the, you know, the store items, you didn't commit a rule violation. So this will be a verbal

**-**84-

warning." That's also logged in the disciplinary tracking sheet because North is the one that put it in there. It state right in there, verbal warning.

The next day the prisoners -- my job didn't start until 11:30, so when the other guys in my unit got over there, they told North, "Hey, man, Dykes-Bey wrote a grievance on you about that unsanitary practice."

MR. DEAN: I'm going to object to hearsay, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Okay. All is well.

THE COURT: You may proceed. Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. So North in turn wrote a notice of intent, and he put in the notice of intent that I was somehow forcing and extorting prisoners. So he laid me in and, you know, requested I be terminated from my job. And I wrote a letter to Ms. Kerr. She was the manager there, she was over all the supervisors. And I explained the situation to her, told her say, "Listen, this guy lying, you know, extorting who? Well, who was these prisoners?" So she kind of agreed we me, so she said, "Listen," she wrote up the 363 and asked that the classification department give me what is called a 30 day conditional period, and that's when they monitor your behavior for 30 days, and if you improve or whatever, you

know, you can keep your job. Well, that didn't happen.

June the 2nd the defendant, Nancy Kerr, called me out to the classification department, and when I got there, I brought all the grievances that I had wrote on all the officers, say, "Listen, this just an attack on me, they retaliating against me because of these grievances that I wrote." She said, "I don't need to see those grievances.

I already know about those grievances, and the conflict you're having with the staff in food service. But that's over with, because you're being terminated from your job."

I said, "How you -- how you going to terminate me from my job?" She say, "Hey listen, ain't nothing else to talk about," and that was that.

So I left, I got the report that she made out later on, and it said that her reasons for terminating me was based up on 436 misconduct. So I went to my ARUS and told my ARUS, say, "Listen, she, you know, terminated me for a 436 misconduct claim that I caught this on the job. I didn't catch no ticket on the job." So she tried to contact her, sent her a memo, she refused to respond, so I wrote a grievance for retaliation, falsifying documents, and violation of my due process.

Make a long story short, everybody that came into contact as far as her superiors was asking, "Where is this ticket you say this man caught?" She couldn't produce

-86-

"That was from another facility." Well why didn't you assert it on there as the reason for termination, if that information don't apply to this facility, you know? So she evaded that and started expounding on, "Well, he was getting into it with the officers in food service." Well, that ain't what you asserted on the report which got me terminated from my job. That's not what you put on there. And me getting into it with the officers is legitimate. I could write grievances, if the conduct is in violation of policy and procedure. I'm entitled to write grievances. So why would you discipline me for that? And that's why we come to the point of where we at today of me filing the lawsuit.

After, you know, I was transferred to another facility, that's when I, you know, filed my lawsuit. When I filed my lawsuit, I received the defense from the defendant, and the defense asserted that her reasons for terminating me from my job was because of the allegations for --

MR. DEAN: Your Honor, objection, again.

Hearsay. These were filings that we had already discussed at the final pretrial conference. It's not direct testimony. He's talking about filings that the defendants did later on.

-87

1	THE WITNESS: No no, I
2	THE COURT: All right, gentlemen, come to the
3	sidebar.
4	(From 11:44 a.m. to 11:46 a.m., off the record
5	bench conference with white noise.)
6	THE WITNESS: Then, that was I believe ten. Can
7	you pull up Exhibit 10?
8	THE COURT: Ten?
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Nancy Marks was an
10	affidavit. That's why I was there with that.
11	THE COURT: And ladies and gentlemen, Mr. Dean,
12	because he has a nice iPad, is going to be putting up all
13	the exhibits, both when he wants to use them and when
14	Mr. Dykes-Bey wants to use them. So he's going to going
15	to be our IT guy for the trial. Thank you for that.
16	MR. DEAN: Okay. Which page?
17	THE WITNESS: Oh, I just wanted you to pull it
18	up because you didn't know which one it was that I was
19	referring to. So is that okay with you?
20	MR. DEAN: Yes.
21	THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay. Back to what I was
22	saying. She had made a claim that she terminated me from
23	my food service job based on the allegations that North put
24	into that notice of the lady in the notice. As a matter of
25	fact, I want to go to that. Can you pull up Exhibit 7?

-88

1 MR. DEAN: Uh-huh. 2 THE WITNESS: The lady in notice, right here. 3 MR. DEAN: Okay. 4 THE WITNESS: It says that, the prisoner was 5 counseled by Jones, he talking about that. But down a 6 little further it says, "Prisoner admits in the letter that" -- oh, right -- it says, "Upon review of this 7 particular policy, prisoner Dykes-Bey, by his own admission 8 9 in attached note, is in fact extorting prisoners for doing legal work." That's just falsehood, you see. That's not 10 11 true. She claimed that she terminated me from my job 12 assignment because of that. But if you will, I would like for you to pull up Exhibit 9, please. 13 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 14 15 THE WITNESS: But in actuality, you see right there, "Terminated food service 436 misconduct on 16 assignment." That's what she terminated me for. But she 17 claimed in a sworn affidavit that she terminated me based 18 19 on the allegations, the fabrication by north, which is two 20 different things, you see. But Exhibit 12, please. I 21 would like to go to the text between her and the grievance 22 specialist. 23 MR. DEAN: Which one? Page one, two, or three? 24 THE WITNESS: I believe it's page one, if I'm

**-**89-

not -- if I'm not mistaken. It says, there's a lot of

1	matorial indicating. But at the better
	material indicating. But at the bottom.
2	THE COURT: Would it be helpful for you to go
3	look at the you can look at the paper copies. Might be
4	easier to read
5	THE WITNESS: Oh, right.
6	THE COURT: than the screen. Ladies and
7	gentlemen, can you read that?
8	THE JURORS: (No verbal response.)
9	THE COURT: That make it any better? Okay.
10	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. If you go down to page
11	one, the text by it, says from Nancy Marshall. Yeah, right
12	there.
13	MR. DEAN: Okay.
14	THE WITNESS: See where it say, "Terminate food
15	service 436 misconduct on assignment?" This was for a
16	previous facility. So now you telling the truth that this
17	information is false, that it was from a previous facility,
18	but that's what you used to terminate me, you see. You
19	didn't use the other information pertaining to this
20	extorting prisoners. You didn't assert that. You asserted
21	this about, this information about a 436 misconduct on
22	assignment was from a previous facility. Now if you can
23	scroll up top, please.
24	MR. DEAN: Yeah.
25	THE WITNESS: Right right there. It

**—**90**–** 

says no mention of any of the grievance responses or any of 1 2 the 336s. A 336 is a work report, a 175 is the 3 classification program report that she made out as, you know, the process for termination. She says that his 4 5 assignment was a security, that's a security -- it's 6 dealing with security. She said, "Hey, you claimed that 7 this job, food service, was a security job." She say, "But that don't say that on none of this stuff." So she really 8 9 correcting Ms. Kerr incorrect information. That does not make -- that does make a big difference. I don't doubt 10 11 that he was doing stuff for which he could be terminated, 12 but I still do not see any 363 or 175 requesting approving 13 termination from the assignment. See nobody requested that. She just did that. And her motive for doing that 14 15 was because of the grievances that I filed against her 16 long-time coworkers, you see, who she knew of from previous facilities. 17 18 If we could go to Exhibit, I said I wasn't going 19 to make this long, but --20 THE COURT: That's all right. Take all the time 21 you need. 22 THE WITNESS: If we could go to Exhibit 11 and

this is the, excuse me. I just want the jury to know what this is. This is the policy directive of the MDOC and how the prisoner program classifications. And if you can go to

23

24

1	section GG, please.
2	THE COURT: A little bit farther, I think.
3	MR. DEAN: I'm sorry, which
4	THE WITNESS: Yeah, GG.
5	MR. DEAN: GG, right here.
6	THE COURT: One more.
7	MS. KERR: GG.
8	MR. DEAN: Oh, G sorry. GG?
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah, right there.
10	MR. DEAN: Okay.
11	THE WITNESS: "When termination from a work or
12	school assignment is necessary, the recommendation shall be
13	submitted by the assignment supervisor using a prisoner
14	program and work assignment evaluation and forward it to
15	the classification director." See, the classification
16	director can't just do it on her own, it have to come from
17	the supervisor, you see? So the the step three
18	grievance specialist in Lansing asked her, like, "Hey,
19	where is this, you know, 363 at? Nobody requested that
20	this man be terminated from his assignment." You need
21	that, according to the policy right there. You need that.
22	So now the relevant question is, at least I know I can't
23	ask myself no questions, but
24	THE COURT: No, no, you can. That's fine.
25	THE WITNESS: to the jury, you know, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

relevant question would be, why would a person in an office such as that have to fabricate a claim to get a response, a favorable response that they looking for? Well, it's because you don't have the authority to do so, so you have to fabricate a claim. You just, if what she placed in that affidavit that I showed you that I extorting prisoners, I never received no misconduct, I didn't get no -- no investigation came out of it, no nothing, because it's a -it's a vague statement, you know. It didn't have no substance to it. And so they did -- and I'm going to tell you now, in prison if they believe that you're extorting and squeezing people, first off, you're going to segregation, and then they're going to do an investigation, all three of them. So when Deputy Skipper, I have to send a letter to Deputy Skipper about it, he called me in his office and he contacted Mr. Johnson. Mr. Johnson say, "Hey, man, that man ain't did nothing like that," you know. And so nothing came of that is what I'm saying. Nothing came of that.

But something did come of me being terminated from my job. I fell into poverty, and that ain't, you know -- you know, a good thing in prison. You know, everything costs. The store, the phones, everything costs. So if you don't have a job to, you know -- you know, provide for yourself, man, you just out, you see. You have

**-**93-

to resort to other things, you know, in prison. But anyway, that was my only source of income and she took that in response for the grievances that I filed against her coworkers, who she had been known for quite some time.

Only the Classification Department could terminate you, according to that policy that you see right there. Only -- but you -- it have to be recommended by the supervisors. In order for that process to get ignited it have to be recommended by your supervisor, see. And there is no evidence that my supervisors have recommended that I be terminated. In fact, what they recommended was if you can go to Exhibit 8, please. That's it right there. Yes, scroll on down.

MR. DEAN: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Right there at the bottom. see that? It says be placed on 30 day conditional. that? Thirty day conditional. They asked for investigation and all of that about the, you know, the false allegations. Like I say, nothing came of it, didn't receive no misconduct, no nothing, you see. But they asked for a 30 day conditional. A 30 day conditional is expressed in the policy that we just left which is 05-01-100. It tells you that it's like a probational period, you know. But the defendant took it upon herself to bypass all of that and just terminate, took my job, you

**-**94-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	see. And I'm telling you that the reason she did that was
2	in response for the grievances I have filed against her
3	coworkers. And I hope that I have enlightened you a little
4	bit about my case. And like I said, that's that's about
5	all I have to say about this. Thank you.
6	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Dykes-Bey, you're
7	welcome. Now Mr. Dean is going to be able to ask you some
8	questions.
9	THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah, yeah.
10	THE COURT: And then as I said, if you want to,
11	when he's finished I'll let you speak to the jury some
12	more.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay.
14	THE COURT: And before we start, Mr. Dean, I
15	just want to say, ladies and gentlemen, that we will be
16	taking or second scheduled break at 12:15.
17	MR. DEAN: 12:15?
18	THE COURT: Yeah, 12:15.
19	MR. DEAN: Okay, thank you.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. DEAN:
22	Q I want to just keep this exhibit
23	A Yeah.
24	Q and work my way back. This is Exhibit A. You said down
25	here it says, this is from your food service supervisor
	95

1 Α Ms. Kerr. 2 This is from Ms. Kerr that wrote this? Q 3 Yeah, that's what -- that's what -- see what this say? Α This say, "Supervisor seemed to," not the evaluator, but 4 the supervisor. That's Kerr. 5 6 Right here? Q 7 That's right there. Α You're saying that's her signature? 8 Q 9 Right. Α Okay. Who typed in this, according to you? 10 Q 11 That was the clerk. The food service clerk. A prisoner. Α 12 Okay. They did this on their own, or at the direction of Q 13 who? Now that I -- I don't know. 14 Α Okay. Did this document originate out of the food service 15 Q 16 area and went to Ms. Kerr, is that what you're saying? 17 This -- this what I'm telling you that in food service they Α 18 have a clerk, a prisoner, and he's instructed to do all the 19 typing. Okay. And --20 Q 21 And so it's under my impression that he typed that up, she Α 22 signed it and sent it in. But North signed it too, though. 23 Q Okay. So that's my question is the box here, says, 24 "Evaluator's printed name and title, Mr. North, food 25 service supervisor?"

**-**96-

1	А	Right.
2	Q	That means this is coming from him and his office, correct?
3	А	Okay. Yeah, but see Ms. Kerr is over him, and if you see
4		where over there it says interim manager.
5	Q	And Mr. Dykes-Bey, didn't you just testify a few minutes
6		ago that food service supervisor, your supervisor
7		recommended only a 30 day conditional
8	А	That's what she did.
9	Q	not that you be terminated?
10	А	And if you you get the letter from Ms. Kerr, if you want
11		to go to it, Ms the letter that I sent Ms. Kerr, and in
12		her response she says that, listen, nobody requested
13		termination. I requested 30 day conditional. That's in
14		her response to the letter I seen.
15	Q	Just so we're clear
16	A	Right.
17	Q	you're saying your supervisor only wanted you on a 30
18		day conditional?
19	А	That's what it say right there.
20	Q	Right?
21	А	It don't say termination.
22	Q	I want your interpretation. That's why I'm asking the
23		question.
24	А	Right.
25	Q	And you're saying that they only recommended a 30 day, but

```
when Nancy got it --
1
2
        Α
             Yeah.
 3
             -- she decided, no, she wants to terminate you?
        Q
 4
        Α
             Yeah.
 5
             And you also testified a few minutes ago that the only
             person that can do the termination would be the
 6
7
             classification director, correct?
 8
             Yup.
        Α
 9
             That's your understanding?
        Q
10
        Α
             Yup, that is.
11
             You're saying to me and to the jury that the warden doesn't
        0
12
             have any say in whether somebody is terminated?
13
        Α
             No, what I'm telling you is, according to that policy we
14
             just got done looking at, it says that that reside with the
15
             classification director. It don't say nothing about the
16
             warden.
17
        Q
             Okay.
18
             And --
        Α
             I agree with you that the quote that you said doesn't do
19
        Q
20
             that, but are you saying in your experience as a prisoner
21
             that the warden has to take a backseat to the
22
             classification director in all matters related to
23
             employment?
24
        Α
             Well, what I would suggest to you is if that's what you're
25
             saying -- I don't see how it relates to my situation, but
                                       -98-
```

```
if you're saying that's true and the jury is listening,
1
2
             then I would like for you to present something to --
3
             Well, okay --
        Q
             -- show us that, you know --
 4
        Α
             -- that's fine, and you can make that in closing argument.
 5
 6
             But in answer to my question, is it --
7
             I don't know.
        Α
             You don't know?
8
        Q
 9
             No, I don't -- I don't know.
        Α
             Then that's fair.
10
        Q
11
             Yeah.
        Α
12
        Q
             So you're saying that for her to have terminated you,
13
             though, that the recommendation had to come from below her
             to the -- from the food service supervisor?
14
15
             I never said that. I quoted policy. That's what the
       Α
16
             policy say, Section GG, 05-01-100 Section GG.
17
             And what exhibit was that?
        Q
18
             That was, I believe that was Exhibit 8. Thank you, sir.
        Α
             Oh, no, that ain't 8. No, that's not 8. Oh, see, it's 11.
19
20
        Q
             Okay.
21
             It's 11.
        Α
22
                        THE COURT: He's got it.
23
                        THE WITNESS: Section GG.
24
       BY MR. DEAN:
25
             Yeah, I want to go back to GG first. You want me to read
        0
                                      -99-
```

```
1
             it first?
2
                        THE COURT: Wait, he'll ask you questions.
3
                        THE WITNESS: Oh.
        BY MR. DEAN:
 4
             Okay. I want you to take a look at paragraph DD for a
 5
 6
             moment. The last sentence of it says, "The prisoner shall
7
             not be returned to the same assignment if the
             classification director determined it to be a threat to the
 8
 9
             safety or security of the facility."
             Well, you asked me do it say that?
10
        Α
11
             Yes.
        0
12
             It does say that, but if you -- you don't -- you got it
        Α
             blocked off so the jury can't see it, but that section is
13
14
             dealing with misconducts. That section is not dealing
15
             with, yeah, that's dealing with misconducts.
16
        Q
             Okay.
17
             In this case I received no misconducts. That's why I don't
        Α
18
             understand how this applies to me.
19
             You had Exhibit 5 in your exhibit book. That's the
        Q
             orientation manual for RMI Food Service.
20
21
        Α
             Okay.
22
        Q
             I just want to make sure I'm on the right page.
23
        Α
             Six.
24
        Q
             This is the section in that exhibit for suspension.
25
        Α
             Yup.
```

-100-

1	Q	And it says
2		THE COURT: What page is that, Mr. Dean?
3		THE WITNESS: That's page six.
4		THE COURT: Six? Okay.
5		THE WITNESS: Yup.
6		MR. DEAN: Yeah, there's an ID number at the
7		top, your Honor. It's page ID 801.
8	BY M	MR. DEAN:
9	Q	It says in the second sentence up there that you may or
10		"He," meaning a prisoner, "may be awaiting the outcome of
11		misconduct, a rule infraction, or a suspension request,"
12		correct?
13	А	That's right.
14	Q	Down below at the bottom it says in a note, "A ticket does
15		not have to be written to have you removed from the
16		assignment"
17	А	That's right.
18	Q	correct?
19	А	That's right.
20	Q	You testified a few minutes ago that you never were written
21		a ticket?
22	A	That's right.
23	Q	But according to this orientation manual, you still they
24		have the right to take you off that assignment.
25	A	Okay. The part that you skipped over was what's in

101-

1		between. They tell you how a person could be suspended.
2		Right there, one through one through nine is how a
3		person could be suspended. And some of those do not
4		require misconduct.
5	Q	Okay.
6	А	But some of those do require that you have some form of
7		written
8	Q	Sure.
9	А	documentation.
10	Q	And Mr. Dykes-Bey, let's be clear for a minute. You are
11		testifying that because they didn't follow the procedure
12		that you think is the way it should be followed, that is
13		the retaliation?
14	А	No. What I'm explaining to you is this, the process
15		before a prisoner can have anything deprived of his
16		property, his job, there has to be a process. Now in order
17		for a person to claim to have followed that procedure,
18		there would have to be documentation.
19	Q	And Mr. Dykes-Bey, you've done some legal work for other
20		prisoners, have you not?
21	А	Yes, I have.
22	Q	Okay. You've taken some time to become well versed in
23		prisoner litigation issues; is that correct?
24	A	I wouldn't say that, but
25	Q	Okay.

\_\_\_\_102\_

1	А	you know, if that's your assessment.
2	Q	Well, if you can answer this question, then I'd like you
3		to, based on your experience. Prisoners do not have a
4		Constitutional right to employment in prison, right?
5	А	No, they do not.
6	Q	Okay. So there's no process to violate, and I'm assuming
7		you meant the process that they have to follow would be due
8		process under the 14th Amendment. You recognize that you
9		don't have a due process claim of the way you were fired,
10		correct?
11	А	I do what I I do not have a due process in that, but
12		I do have a state-created liberty interest. See, that's
13		something totally different. So if you want to go that
14		route, we can, you know
15	Q	Well, we don't need to go that route because the only claim
16		you have surviving in your complaint is the
17	А	Is the retaliation.
18	Q	First Amendment retaliation?
19	А	Yes, sir.
20	Q	So there is no 14th Amendment due process
21	А	No.
22	Q	claim in this case?
23	А	No, it's not.
24	Q	You understand that?
25	А	Yeah.
		4.00

\_\_\_\_103\_\_

1	Q	So I'm trying to understand. You got to the point where
2		you think that they didn't follow the rules in terminating
3		you, correct?
4	A	Well, I've showed that they didn't follow it.
5	Q	Well, how, then, does that show that she was motivated to
6		retaliate?
7	А	This this is how. If you're not doing anything wrong,
8		there's no need for you to take shortcuts or create
9		fabrication, if you ain't doing nothing wrong.
10	Q	Okay.
11	А	If you believe what you're doing is right, then why don't
12		you assert what you believe that to be? Why would you
13		create some other fabrication?
14	Q	And the documentation from your supervisor that said they
15		wanted you investigated for extorting money or goods from
16		another prisoner
17	А	Yeah, like you said, that's North. Absolutely. That's
18		what North said. And there was nothing that came out of.
19	Q	And let me ask you this. All of the information that Nancy
20		has that you know of
21	А	Yeah.
22	Q	was from information provided by North, correct?
23	А	Those two reports, yeah.
24	Q	And then one of those is Exhibit 7, I believe?
25	А	Yeah, 7 and 8.

1	Q	Let's go to that real quick. Let's start here. This is
2		information that was provided by your food service
3		supervisor, correct?
4	А	Yeah, North.
5	Q	Okay. North was saying that you were counselled by Jones
6		in the month of April about doing legal work, that he wrote
7		a note for prisoner Robinson to pass to prisoner Johnson
8		while on assignment?
9	А	Yup.
10	Q	That it's your third write-up within 30 days. That you
11		admit in the letter he was charging prisoner Johnson for
12		legal work he completed and sent to the prosecutor. Do you
13		disagree with that?
14	А	Yeah, you know I disagree with it. I never admitted that
15		that's what that was, and I never had a hearing that
16		established that that's what that was.
17	Q	But we have established that this wasn't something Nancy
18		Kerr wrote, correct?
19	А	Oh, yes.
20	Q	Okay.
21	А	Yeah.
22	Q	So the only information she has is coming from these
23		reports she's getting. So if they're incorrect, how is she
24		to know that?
25	A	This is how she this is how she's to know that. She got

a -- she's a well-experienced officer. She's been around 1 2 for quite some time. You know that you can't just accept 3 just a blank allegation about something don't have no substance. We know that allegations such as this warrants 4 5 a misconduct ticket, you know. You often thrown in 6 segregation for that. So because none of these things had 7 occurred, you got to know they got to be fabricated for a 8 particular reason. 9 Okay, so if your assumption is correct, then, she should Q have followed up to see if you had a misconduct before she 10 11 took you off assignment? 12 Well, she, no -- hey, she is the classification officer, Α 13 and according to you in your opening statements, they do what? They investigate a prisoner's file. So they 14 15 investigate a prisoner's file, they, before she called me 16 up then she would already have known that I never received 17 a misconduct or no investigation had came out of that. 18 Let's take a look at the next page in the exhibit book. Q 19 This is purportedly the note that was found on this 20 prisoner Robinson that was going to Johnson, correct? 21 Right. Right. Α 22 Q Do you dispute that this is your writing on the right? 23 Α No, I do not. 24 Q Okay, and it says, "Black," and presumably Black is 25 prisoner Johnson? **——**106**–** 

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. This is DB, which you signed at the bottom
3		Dykes-Bey?
4	А	That's right.
5	Q	That was you?
6	А	That's right.
7	Q	The one who is what, I'm not sure I understand what this
8		is?
9	А	Oh, I'll be frank, I've got it right here.
10	Q	Yeah, please do.
11	А	"This is DB, the one who hook that situation up for you to
12		go to the prosecutor's office. I need them five bags of
13		coffee ASAP. So look, bring a bag at lunch, and at dinner
14		just bring one bag at a time and give it to Slim in the
15		dish tank, white boy Slim. You can do this all the way up
16		until Thursday. Remember, one bag at lunch and one at
17		dinner every day until I get all the money. I didn't cross
18		you. I took care of you, now take care of me."
19	Q	Okay. So let's establish a couple things. You wrote this
20		note?
21	A	Absolutely.
22	Q	You intended it to go to Mr. Johnson?
23	А	Absolutely.
24	Q	You intended by that for him to bring coffee to the
25		cafeteria, your work assignment?

**—**107**–** 

That's right. 1 Α 2 To give you the bags of coffee? Q 3 That's right. Α You understand that's against MDOC policy, correct? 4 0 5 Yes, I do. Α 6 Okay. So the fact that you didn't legalistically get Q 7 convicted of a misconduct is one thing, but you admit that 8 this is -- if you got caught and were written up a 9 misconduct for it, you could have been punished for that, 10 correct? 11 Right. No, first off, you can't receive a misconduct for Α 12 receiving stole items on your job. You can be reprimanded 13 for it, but no, you won't receive a misconduct. But they tell you that in the rule that you -- the food service 14 15 manual, they tell you that in there. You could be written 16 up a counsel warning, but they won't give you a misconduct 17 for it. 18 What about written up for having a legal agreement with a Q prisoner? Could you have been written up for that? 19 20 Α Well, I didn't have a legal agreement. You haven't even 21 established that the letter I wrote to the prosecutor 22 office was legal. 23 Okay. Q 24 Α He reported a crime. 25 That's what I'm getting at. This note, all the MDOC has to 0

**-**108**-**

1		go on as to what happened here
2	A	That's right.
3	Q	is that you said to the prosecutor's office
4	A	That's right.
5	Q	Would you agree with me that a layperson would read that or
6		you would take that as being something about a legal
7		matter?
8	A	Until you find out otherwise, you have to accept
9	Q	Okay. So the only other confirmation they could have
10		received was you telling them, "Oh, that wasn't legal work.
11		I was just helping him report a crime?"
12	А	Hey, I all right testified under oath that they talked to
13		both of Deputy Skipper talked to both folks. Now you're
14		a well, you know, respected guy. You did this. You
15		investigated this. You know that if there was something
16		there, you would have it.
17	Q	Well, let me ask you this, are prisoners allowed to trade
18		favors for coffee or other goods for anything?
19	А	Well, it says no loaning and borrowing, you see. But it
20		don't say anything about you cannot give a person
21		something. The man gave me that out of common courtesy.
22	Q	But what if that was given to you and the quid pro quo here
23		was for work you did for him?
24	А	He I just told you, he gave it to me out of common
25		courtesy. You show somebody an act of gratitude, that's

1		what they'll say, man.
2	Q	But this letter doesn't read like that. You have very
3		specific instructions to bring a bag at lunch
4	А	On how
5	Q	and a bag at dinner.
6	А	That's right. Oh, how to get it to me. If you going to
7		give that to me, hey, we at level four is restricted
8		movement.
9	Q	Uh-huh.
10	А	You can only do things, you know, a certain time, you know.
11	Q	So let me get this straight then. He, out of the goodness
12		of his heart says, you know, I like the fact that you wrote
13		that letter to the prosecutor for me
14	А	No.
15	Q	I'm going to give you five bags of coffee? How did that
16		work?
17	А	If you read the letter that Mr. Johnson wrote to Deputy
18		Skipper that, you know, I don't know if I can speak on
19		because you asked the judge to disbar it, but it say in the
20		letter this man didn't approach me, I approached him.
21	Q	So he came up with the deal for you to do something for
22		him?
23	А	Wasn't a deal. The man asked me to do something for him.
24		After I did it, he say, "Listen, this what I'm going to do
25		for you in exchange."
		4.4.0

\_\_\_\_110\_

```
1
        Q
             Okay.
2
        Α
             That's how that went.
3
             That sounds like a regular ole contract to me.
        Q
 4
        Α
             Hey, man, okay, well you can interpret it however you'd
 5
             like.
 6
             Okay. Okay.
        Q
7
                        THE COURT: Mr. Dean, we're getting close to
             12:15.
8
 9
                        MR. DEAN: Yeah, why don't we just take a break
             now and --
10
11
                        THE COURT: Sure, yeah.
12
                        MR. DEAN: -- that would be great.
                        THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen,
13
             we're going to take our second scheduled break. We'll come
14
             back at 12:30, we'll go until 2, and then we'll break for
15
16
             the day. Please remember the cautionary instruction I've
17
             given you twice already, so I won't -- I don't want to give
18
             it again.
                        (At 12:15 p.m., court in recess.)
19
20
                        (At 12:37 p.m., court reconvened, all parties
21
             and jury present.)
22
                        THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen. In
23
             a moment we'll continue with Mr. Dean's cross-examine of
24
             Mr. Dykes-Bey, but did want to tell you that while you were
25
             out we arranged some entertainment for you. So we have the
```

**—**111**—** 

1		Grand Valley State University marching band out in Calder
2		Plaza and they're going to be playing for you here in the
3		next couple hours. So just, if you wonder what that is,
4		you'll know, that's for us. Actually it's they're
5		kicking off the football season, having a big pep rally out
6		in that plaza. So if you hear some music, that's what's
7		going on.
8		Mr. Dean.
9		MR. DEAN: All right, thank you, your Honor.
10	BY M	R. DEAN:
11	Q	I want to switch gears a little bit with you,
12		Mr. Dykes-Bey. The first grievance you wrote on Nancy Kerr
13		was after you were terminated?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	Okay, so
16		THE COURT: Mr. Dean, I hate to interrupt you.
17		Are those Mr. Dykes-Bey's copy of the exhibits?
18		THE WITNESS: It is.
19		THE COURT: Would you
20		MR. DEAN: This one?
21		THE COURT: Yeah, would you mind giving that to
22		him so I can get my copy back
23		MR. DEAN: Oh, gotcha.
24		THE COURT: and I can follow along ?
25		MR. DEAN: Yeah, no problem.

<del>-----</del>112<del>--</del>

1		THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much.
2		Sorry to interrupt.
3		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
4		MR. DEAN: No problem.
5	BY M	IR. DEAN:
6	Q	So in terms of this retaliation lawsuit, you're saying the
7		adverse act that she took against you was terminating you
8		from your work assignment, correct?
9	А	Absolutely.
10	Q	After that happened you filed the grievance against her?
11	A	For retaliation.
12	Q	Okay. So in terms of the protected conduct, though, that
13		couldn't be the protected conduct, right? Because it
14		happened after she took the action?
15	А	I said that she took the action on behalf of her coworkers.
16	Q	Exactly. And that's where I'm getting to next.
17	А	Yeah.
18	Q	Which coworkers specifically do you think she was taking
19		the action against you
20	А	All of them.
21	Q	Well, which ones were
22	А	I filed grievances
23	Q	Okay, that's what I want is a list of names of the people
24		you filed grievances
25	A	Yeah, I filed grievances on Jones for inappropriate

\_\_\_\_113\_\_

1		pat-down.
2	Q	Okay.
3	A	I filed a grievance on Meadows for, food service Meadows,
4		for discriminatory practices and harassment.
5		THE COURT: Do you know how to spell Meadows?
6		THE WITNESS: Yeah, M-e-a-d-o-w-s.
7		THE COURT: Oh, Meadows, okay, gotcha.
8		THE WITNESS: Yeah.
9		I also filed grievances on both of them for
10		retaliation, for giving me those bow counsel write-ups.
11		MR. DEAN: Okay.
12		THE WITNESS: Then Meadows, I filed another
13		grievance on him for retaliation, because he charged mine
14		and wrote that bow with Tiggy, that they found out that he
15		lied, then he end up quitting.
16	BY M	IR. DEAN:
17	Q	Okay.
18	А	Then I, you know, those are the grievances that I wrote,
19		along with the your grievance from North.
20	Q	Okay.
21	А	So these all food service employees who I have wrote
22		grievances on.
23	Q	Okay. So these are the grievances that you are in the
24		process of dealing with writing pursuant
25	А	I had already wrote them.

\_\_\_\_114\_\_

1	Q	Okay. They're in the MDOC database at this point?
2	А	You got 'em.
3	Q	Right. And so these are the grievances you're saying she
4		was motivated to retaliate against you, correct?
5	А	That's right.
6	Q	And I think you testified a few minutes ago that for her
7		long-term coworkers, correct?
8	А	Yeah.
9	Q	But didn't you testify that Jones came over from Riverside?
10	А	Along with her.
11	Q	Okay. You're saying she came from Riverside?
12	А	Oh, you didn't know that?
13	Q	Okay. Was there ever conversation or in any of these
14		grievances that you wrote against these other food service
15		workers, you didn't mention her name at all, correct?
16	А	You're trying to show the connection?
17	Q	Right.
18	А	The connection is I told you when she called me up there to
19		unjustly terminate me from my food service job, she told me
20		it was in relation to
21	Q	Okay.
22	А	the conflict I was having with food service personnel.
23	Q	Well, where did this conversation take place?
24	А	In her office.
25	Q	Okay, and do you know the date of that?

<del>-----1</del>15-

1	А	Yeah, it was on June 2nd.
2	Q	Any other witnesses to that conversation, besides you two?
3	А	No. No.
4	Q	At that point, that's when she fired you?
5	А	Yeah, on June 2nd.
6	Q	Okay. When was your grievance against her?
7	А	You can look at it. I filed it on June June 3rd.
8	Q	Which exhibit are you looking at?
9	А	Exhibit 12.
10	Q	Okay. You understand when I ask you these questions, what
11		I'm looking for is you to refer to the documents that
12		you
13	A	I gotcha.
14	Q	instead of saying I know I know they exist, but
15		we're
16	А	I got it.
17	Q	creating a record for the court.
18	А	I gotcha. I gotcha.
19	Q	So which exhibit were you referring to?
20	А	That's Exhibit 12.
21	Q	Thank you.
22	А	The first grievance I wrote on Nancy Marshall for
23		retaliation.
24	Q	Okay. She didn't take any other actions against you after
25		that grievance?

\_\_\_\_116\_\_

1 Α No. 2 Q Okay, well --3 Well -- well, I mean at what point? Because, you know, Α after I wrote this grievance, she continued her retaliatory 4 5 campaign, you understand, by trying to keep me on the rule 6 restriction, which I wasn't supposed to be on in the first 7 place, you know, unemployable status. Ms. Rogers, which was my ARUS, she kept sending these reclassification forms 8 9 every 30 days. I put them in here. It's in Exhibit --Exhibit 13. She sent like three of them. Well, as I 10 11 stated in my -- in my complaint under oath that another 12 prisoner who was terminated from food service way after me, 13 she reclassified him within 30 days, but kept me on there for almost 90 days, for no reason though. She never gave a 14 15 reason why she continued me on unemployable status. 16 MR. DEAN: Okay. No further questions. 17 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Dykes-Bey, is there additional testimony that you would like to give to the 18 jury? It would have to be testimony that relates to, you 19 20 know, Mr. Dean's questioning of you. 21 THE WITNESS: Right. I do have just two -- two 22 things, real quick. One is I believe that the jury was led 23 off the primary point. 24 THE COURT: All right, well, we're not -- this 25 isn't the time --

**—**117**-**

THE WITNESS: Well --1 2 THE COURT: -- for -- well, hold on. This isn't 3 a time for argument. 4 THE WITNESS: No argument. 5 THE COURT: Okay, so just stick to the facts. 6 THE WITNESS: Which was this? 7 THE COURT: Okay. Hold on. THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry about that. 8 9 THE COURT: That's all right. 10 THE WITNESS: The whole purpose of me being 11 terminated from my food service job was she asserted a 12 false accusation which was that I was terminated from food service for misconduct. That was not true. She admitted 13 that that was not true in a text between her and the 14 15 grievance specialist in Lansing. The defense counsel 16 focused on the, what he classified as legal work, which it 17 wasn't, but a letter. You know, it's a lot of guys in 18 prison, man, who can't read and write. And it's 19 embarrassing for them, so they often pick guys who, you 20 know, respectful people who not going, you know, expose 21 that to the public. Well, anyway, as an act of gratitude, 22 they often, you know, like throw them a honey bun or bag of 23 coffee or something like that in exchange for that. 24 not a violation of the rules. What is a violation of the 25 rules is loaning and borrowing. I can't loan anything to

**—**118**–** 

1	you and I can't borrow anything from you. But to give
2	somebody something, you can give you can give anything
3	you want to anybody. That's not a violation of the rules.
4	So I just wanted to clarify that. That way the jury isn't
5	confused as to believing that just because he brought that
6	up that that was the reason. Because if it was, like I
7	said, if you were a respectful person in this respected
8	office, you don't have no reason to fabricate anything.
9	You can just tell the truth, hey, this what I'm saying.
10	Just tell the truth. You don't have to create a
11	fabrication to justify why you're doing something. I just
12	didn't want nobody to be confused as to what the primary
13	objective is.
14	THE COURT: All right, thank you for your
15	testimony
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Dykes-Bey. Is there any
18	other evidence that you wish to present?
19	THE WITNESS: Oh, all the evidence? All the
20	evidence has already been presented.
21	THE COURT: Okay, fair enough. You may return
22	to your seat.
23	(At 12:46 p.m., witness excused.)
24	THE COURT: Do I take it then that you rest,
25	that you
	110

**\_\_\_\_**119**\_\_** 

1	MR. DYKES-BEY: I do.
2	THE COURT: rest your case.
3	MR. DYKES-BEY: I do rest.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Dean, do you intend to
5	present evidence?
6	MR. DEAN: I do, your Honor. And at this time
7	I'd like to call Nancy Kerr to the stand.
8	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Kerr, please come
9	forward.
10	THE CLERK: If you would raise your right hand.
11	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you
12	are about to give relevant to this cause now pending before
13	the Court is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
14	the truth so help you God?
15	MS. KERR: I do.
16	NANCY KERR,
17	sworn by the clerk at 12:47 p.m., took the stand
18	and testified upon her oath as follows.
19	THE CLERK: You may be seated.
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. DEAN:
23	Q After good afternoon, Ms. Kerr. Why don't you start oh,
24	yes?
25	MR. DEAN: (To Mr. Dykes-Bey) This is for the

witness stand. Did you take the one off the witness stand? 1 2 MR. DYKES-BEY: Yeah. 3 THE COURT: That was off his table, Mr. Dean. 4 MR. DEAN: Okay. 5 THE COURT: Hold on, we've got one right here. 6 MR. DEAN: Okay. 7 THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. DEAN: 8 9 Why don't you start off by telling the jury what your Q 10 employment status was at the Reformatory back in the time 11 in question? 12 In time in question, I was the classification director, and Α 13 my responsibility was to classify and interview all the 14 prisoners when they arrived at the facility and place them 15 in the proper programming that they needed to complete 16 according to the courts, and also sign them up for job 17 assignments. Not only was I responsible for job 18 assignments, but I was responsible for the hiring and 19 firing of them. I also processed indigent orders, when 20 prisoners didn't have money and needed hygiene products 21 they could apply for indigent loans. I was in charge of 22 the prisoner payroll, and I was on several committees 23 amongst a lot of other duties that came along with being 24 classification director. 25 And when did you start your position there in the Q

**-**121**-**

1		classification director position?
2	А	At the Reformatory, or just in general as classification
3	Q	At the Reformatory.
4	А	At the Reformatory. I transferred to the Reformatory in
5		the end of April, beginning of May of 2012.
6	Q	So you'd been there about two years before this
7	А	Yes, sir.
8	Q	the incidents in this case? Prior to 2012, where were
9		you at?
10	А	I worked at the Newberry Correctional Facility.
11	Q	And Newberry is up in the Upper Peninsula?
12	А	It is in the UP, yes.
13	Q	Okay. How long did you work there?
14	А	I worked at Newberry from 1995, when it opened, until I
15		transferred to the Reformatory in 2012.
16	Q	Okay. You heard testimony a few minutes ago that you were
17		protecting long-term coworkers at Riverside, and when I
18		asked him did "You're saying that Nancy worked at
19		Riverside, "he said, "Yeah." Is that true?
20	А	No, it is not true. I never worked at Riverside
21		Correctional
22	Q	Ever?
23	А	Never.
24	Q	Okay. Let's talk about the different defendants.
25		Mr. Dykes-Bey has testified that the grievance he wrote

\_\_\_122\_

1		against you came after he was terminated from his position.
2		Were you aware of any grievances from Mr. Dykes-Bey against
3		you prior to you terminating him from his job?
4	А	Against me? No.
5	Q	Okay.
6	А	I was not.
7	Q	Had you had any interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey?
8	А	Just probably when he was classified.
9	Q	Okay, when he
10	А	When he
11	Q	How does that
12	А	When he arrived at the facility. When they arrive at the
13		facility, you have a designated time period to review their
14		file, call them up to your office, and have an interview
15		with them. And give them the rules and orientate them to
16		the facility so they know what the rules of the facility
17		are, and get them signed up for job assignments. And if
18		they have questions about different programming, at that
19		time they can ask it.
20	Q	You heard Mr. Dykes-Bey testify that he had a conversation
21		with you and you told him, "I'm aware of all your
22		grievances and we're getting rid of you. We're going to
23		fix the problem," or words to that effect. Did that ever
24		happen?
25	А	No. It didn't happen because when prisoners file ——123——————————————————————————————————

1		grievances, I wouldn't know who they're filed on.
2		MR. DYKES-BEY: Object.
3		THE WITNESS: Not as classification director.
4		MR. DYKES-BEY: Excuse me, object. He didn't
5		ask that's not the question he asked. He asked when I
6		came to her office for the termination hearing, not about
7		the grievance.
8		THE COURT: All right, so nonresponsive. I'll
9		sustain it. Please answer the question as asked, and then
10		Mr. Dean will undoubtedly follow up
11		THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay.
12		THE COURT: with other points that he thinks
13		are
14		MR. DEAN: Now I don't remember what my last yes
15		was. I think it was
16		THE WITNESS: Was I aware of the grievances.
17	BY M	IR. DEAN:
18	Q	Right. Were you aware?
19	А	No, I was not aware of them.
20	Q	Were you aware of any grievances against Officer Jones?
21	A	No, I was not aware of them.
22	Q	And let's talk about Jones. Do you know who Officer
23		Stephen Jones was?
24	A	I did not know him.
25	Q	If he walked by you on the street today, would you be able124

1		to recognize who he was?
2	А	No.
3	Q	How about Meadows, do you know who that individual was?
4	А	No, I don't.
5	Q	Okay.
6	А	I mean I probably heard names, but to see them, I have no
7		idea who they are.
8	Q	Okay. How about North. You knew North?
9	А	Yes, I did know who North was, but to have a conversation
10		with him, no.
11	Q	Okay. Let's talk geographically speaking, where is the
12		cafeteria or food service operations in relation to where
13		you work?
14	А	My office was upstairs across from the library. It was
15		just the classification director's office and the library.
16		And you had to go down a back stairwell that brought you
17		out to, you could go one way to the housing units, or the
18		other way led you out to the cafeteria.
19	Q	And was the area you were in, was that a secure area?
20	А	Yeah, when when there was no we only had officers
21		manning it at certain times, and when it wasn't manned, my
22		office and the library were locked.
23	Q	Okay. Did you spend a frequent amount of time in the food
24		service area?
25	А	No, I did not.
		125 <del></del>

1	Q	Okay.
2	А	Very rarely.
3	Q	So you didn't have daily interactions with these
4		individuals I just mentioned?
5	А	No.
6	Q	Okay. I think there was some testimony earlier about
7		Aramark taking over, do you know when that took place?
8	А	I believe it took place at the beginning of December of
9		2013.
10	Q	Okay, so we're talking just within the first six months of
11		it. What I'm using the word "Aramark," and I know what
12		it is, but can you explain to the jury what we're talk
13	A	Aramark Aramark was a privatized food service company.
14		Before Aramark came, state employees ran our food service
15		and they figured that they could do it at a better rate if
16		they had a private company come in. So Aramark was a
17		private company. They hired their own staff. They had to
18		pass the security clearances of the prison, of course, but
19		they were hired through Aramark, and Aramark was
20		responsible for them.
21	Q	And like any government operation anywhere over time, I'm
22		sure that was a smooth transition?
23	А	It was not a smooth transition, no.
24	Q	Okay.
25	А	It was not. They had a lot they had a lot to learn

\_\_\_\_126\_

designated just for corrections trained employees, correct  A Yes.  A Yes.  And there's an entirely different paperwork system, I imagine, for the people coming in?  A The paperwork system was supposed to stay the same, but because they were not familiar with it, several meetings were set up to try and teach them and train them, and it took quite a while to finally get it to where they were doing it the way they were supposed to be doing it.  O Okay. You had frequent interactions with Aramark?			
contractors, civilians coming in to an area which was once designated just for corrections trained employees, correct A Yes.  Q And there's an entirely different paperwork system, I imagine, for the people coming in?  A The paperwork system was supposed to stay the same, but because they were not familiar with it, several meetings were set up to try and teach them and train them, and it took quite a while to finally get it to where they were doing it the way they were supposed to be doing it.  Q Okay. You had frequent interactions with Aramark?  A I had frequent interactions with the supervisor of Aramark because then he passed the information on to his staff.  Q Okay. So at the point that all of this is happening, you weren't aware of any previous grievances or any interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be categorized as negative interactions between you two?  A No, I did not.  Q Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	1		about how the policies of the DOC worked.
designated just for corrections trained employees, correct  A Yes.  Q And there's an entirely different paperwork system, I imagine, for the people coming in?  A The paperwork system was supposed to stay the same, but because they were not familiar with it, several meetings were set up to try and teach them and train them, and it took quite a while to finally get it to where they were doing it the way they were supposed to be doing it.  Q Okay. You had frequent interactions with Aramark?  A I had frequent interactions with the supervisor of Aramark because then he passed the information on to his staff.  Q Okay. So at the point that all of this is happening, you weren't aware of any previous grievances or any interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be categorized as negative interactions between you two?  A No, I did not.  Q Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	2	Q	And was it, I mean you're talking about private
A Yes.  Q And there's an entirely different paperwork system, I imagine, for the people coming in?  A The paperwork system was supposed to stay the same, but because they were not familiar with it, several meetings were set up to try and teach them and train them, and it took quite a while to finally get it to where they were doing it the way they were supposed to be doing it.  Q Okay. You had frequent interactions with Aramark?  A I had frequent interactions with the supervisor of Aramark because then he passed the information on to his staff.  Q Okay. So at the point that all of this is happening, you weren't aware of any previous grievances or any interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be categorized as negative interactions between you two?  No, I did not.  Q Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	3		contractors, civilians coming in to an area which was once
And there's an entirely different paperwork system, I imagine, for the people coming in?  A The paperwork system was supposed to stay the same, but because they were not familiar with it, several meetings were set up to try and teach them and train them, and it took quite a while to finally get it to where they were doing it the way they were supposed to be doing it.  Q Okay. You had frequent interactions with Aramark?  A I had frequent interactions with the supervisor of Aramark because then he passed the information on to his staff.  Q Okay. So at the point that all of this is happening, you weren't aware of any previous grievances or any interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be categorized as negative interactions between you two?  No, I did not.	4		designated just for corrections trained employees, correct?
imagine, for the people coming in?  A The paperwork system was supposed to stay the same, but because they were not familiar with it, several meetings were set up to try and teach them and train them, and it took quite a while to finally get it to where they were doing it the way they were supposed to be doing it.  Q Okay. You had frequent interactions with Aramark?  A I had frequent interactions with the supervisor of Aramark because then he passed the information on to his staff.  Q Okay. So at the point that all of this is happening, you weren't aware of any previous grievances or any interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be categorized as negative interactions between you two?  A No, I did not.  Q Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	5	А	Yes.
A The paperwork system was supposed to stay the same, but because they were not familiar with it, several meetings were set up to try and teach them and train them, and it took quite a while to finally get it to where they were doing it the way they were supposed to be doing it.  Q Okay. You had frequent interactions with Aramark?  A I had frequent interactions with the supervisor of Aramark because then he passed the information on to his staff.  Q Okay. So at the point that all of this is happening, you weren't aware of any previous grievances or any interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be categorized as negative interactions between you two?  No, I did not.  Q Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	6	Q	And there's an entirely different paperwork system, I
because they were not familiar with it, several meetings were set up to try and teach them and train them, and it took quite a while to finally get it to where they were doing it the way they were supposed to be doing it.  Q Okay. You had frequent interactions with Aramark?  A I had frequent interactions with the supervisor of Aramark because then he passed the information on to his staff.  Q Okay. So at the point that all of this is happening, you weren't aware of any previous grievances or any interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be categorized as negative interactions between you two?  A No, I did not.  Q Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	7		imagine, for the people coming in?
were set up to try and teach them and train them, and it  took quite a while to finally get it to where they were  doing it the way they were supposed to be doing it.  Q Okay. You had frequent interactions with Aramark?  A I had frequent interactions with the supervisor of Aramark  because then he passed the information on to his staff.  Q Okay. So at the point that all of this is happening, you  weren't aware of any previous grievances or any  interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be  categorized as negative interactions between you two?  A No, I did not.  Q Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	8	А	The paperwork system was supposed to stay the same, but
took quite a while to finally get it to where they were doing it the way they were supposed to be doing it.  Q Okay. You had frequent interactions with Aramark?  A I had frequent interactions with the supervisor of Aramark because then he passed the information on to his staff.  Q Okay. So at the point that all of this is happening, you weren't aware of any previous grievances or any interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be categorized as negative interactions between you two?  A No, I did not.  Q Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	9		because they were not familiar with it, several meetings
doing it the way they were supposed to be doing it.  Q Okay. You had frequent interactions with Aramark?  A I had frequent interactions with the supervisor of Aramark because then he passed the information on to his staff.  Q Okay. So at the point that all of this is happening, you weren't aware of any previous grievances or any interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be categorized as negative interactions between you two?  A No, I did not.  Q Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	10		were set up to try and teach them and train them, and it
Q Okay. You had frequent interactions with Aramark?  A I had frequent interactions with the supervisor of Aramark because then he passed the information on to his staff.  Q Okay. So at the point that all of this is happening, you weren't aware of any previous grievances or any interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be categorized as negative interactions between you two?  A No, I did not.  Q Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	11		took quite a while to finally get it to where they were
I had frequent interactions with the supervisor of Aramark because then he passed the information on to his staff.  Q Okay. So at the point that all of this is happening, you weren't aware of any previous grievances or any interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be categorized as negative interactions between you two?  A No, I did not.  Q Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	12		doing it the way they were supposed to be doing it.
because then he passed the information on to his staff.  Q Okay. So at the point that all of this is happening, you weren't aware of any previous grievances or any interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be categorized as negative interactions between you two?  A No, I did not.  Q Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	13	Q	Okay. You had frequent interactions with Aramark?
Okay. So at the point that all of this is happening, you weren't aware of any previous grievances or any interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be categorized as negative interactions between you two?  No, I did not.  Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	14	А	I had frequent interactions with the supervisor of Aramark,
weren't aware of any previous grievances or any interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be categorized as negative interactions between you two?  No, I did not.  Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	15		because then he passed the information on to his staff.
interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be categorized as negative interactions between you two?  No, I did not.  Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	16	Q	Okay. So at the point that all of this is happening, you
categorized as negative interactions between you two?  A No, I did not.  Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	17		weren't aware of any previous grievances or any
20 A No, I did not. 21 Q Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	18		interactions with Mr. Dykes-Bey in which could be
Q Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable	19		categorized as negative interactions between you two?
	20	А	No, I did not.
ones, was when you terminated him, correct?	21	Q	Your first interactions were, or at least the memorable
	22		ones, was when you terminated him, correct?
A When I received the paperwork indicating, yes.	23	А	When I received the paperwork indicating, yes.
Q What was the bottom line? Why was he terminated?	24	Q	What was the bottom line? Why was he terminated?
25 A He was terminated based upon the fact that he was doing127	25	А	-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

legal work for a prisoner and requesting the payment. not only was he requesting the payment, but he was requesting it to be delivered to his work assignment and he was involving other prisoners. He was involving one prisoner to pass a kite to another prisoner, and he was involving the prisoner to bring the coffee to another prisoner within his work area. And food service is a really sensitive work area at the Reformatory, because it's not -- it's not highly staffed. I mean you have your staff in there running the chow lines, but to -- and there's lots of hidden -- hidden spots. They call them blind spots, where stuff can happen and staff don't necessarily see it. So --0 Let me stop you there for a second. Α Yes. When you say it's not highly staffed, you mean civilian employees, there aren't a lot in the kitchen? There's not a lot of correction staff. I mean you have Α correction staff in there watching chow lines, but when you have that many prisoners and they're level fours in that area at one time, it -- it can get to be pretty serious if you're having, you know, little side businesses taking place within your food service. Q What about weapons? Are there anything that could be used as a weapon in the kitchen service area?

**-**128**-**

1	A	Oh, my gosh, yes. There is lots that can be used as a
2		weapon in the food service area.
3	Q	Like?
4	А	It could be anything from their serving utensils to
5		prisoners can make homemade shanks. There are pieces of it
6		could be hard metal, or could be metal, hard plastics, and
7		they and they shape them to a point, and they, you know,
8		catch them on them all the time.
9	Q	What about being in a kitchen? I worked as a restaurant
10		manager for many years. We had chef's knives, paring
11		knives. Was that similar in a prison cafeteria as well?
12	А	Yes. They there were areas where, designated areas
13		where those kinds of items were used.
14		THE COURT: Mr. Dean, before you ask your next
15		question, could Mr. Dykes-Bey and Mr. Dean come forward for
16		a second?
17		(From 12:57 p.m. to 12:59 p.m., off the record
18		bench conference with white noise.)
19	BY M	R. DEAN:
20	Q	You heard Mr. Dykes-Bey testify that he didn't get a
21		misconduct ticket in this case, and because of that he
22		shouldn't have been terminated. Is he correct in that
23		statement?
24	А	No, he's not. When when a prisoner can be classified as
25		unemployable or terminated from an assignment, it doesn't

1		necessarily have to involve a misconduct. If it is
2		jeopardizing the integrity of the job, the trust of the
3		position, or the safety and security of the institution, he
4		can be removed from that assignment.
5	Q	And along those lines, he testified that only the
6		classification director could terminate somebody from their
7		assignment?
8	А	Uh-huh.
9	Q	Is that statement correct?
10	А	No, I wouldn't say that that was correct, because the
11		warden of the facility is in charge of that entire
12		facility, and if she wants someone terminated, she can have
13		them terminated.
14	Q	All right. So you don't need to have a misconduct to
15		remove somebody from a work assignment?
16	А	No, you do not.
17	Q	What about his statement that the only that because the
18		food service supervisor was asking for a 60 or 30 day
19		conditional that you were bound by that. Is that your
20		understanding of the position?
21	А	No, it is not I'm not bound by that. As classification
22		director, it's your responsibility to make sure that the
23		facility, when it comes to job positions running smoothly,
24		and if you feel that there's a threat to the safety and
25		security of the institution due to a prisoner in that work

ent, he can be removed.  m pulling up Exhibit 9. This is a program  ication report. First of all, I want to establish
ication report. First of all, I want to establish
a 00 unemployable status. Was that written by you?
was.
es that mean?
s that that reclassification form was indicating he
ng placed on unemployable status for at least the
days before he was eligible to be reclassed.
And then the big part of this testimony from
es-Bey, this SASSI3 and then terminate food service
conduct on assignment. Let's break them apart.
this stuff typewritten into this, and who does it?
For when it comes to the printable comments on their
ecause that's what that is called, it's their
classification report, the printable comments are
classification directors from facility to facility.
ssification directors are not the only ones who have
to enter stuff in that area. So when there's other
on there like "terminated from food service, four,
six, misconduct on assignment," that is not
ng that I practiced on putting on 175s, because
so much other information that's necessary to be on

**—**131**-**

1 assessment scores, that is important, because that's 2 required programming for prisoners. And there's limited 3 space in that area to type, so to keep the unnecessary information off of there is important. But he came here 4 5 from another facil -- he came to the Reformatory from 6 another facility that had that information on there, and it 7 was also a practice of mine not to remove other people's information, not knowing why it was put there in the first 8 9 place, because there may have been something important with that information. 10 11 So you're saying you did not type that information --12 Did not type that information. Α He wasn't fired because of a misconduct? 13 Q He was not fired because of a misconduct. 14 Α 15 Okay. This was part of Exhibit 12 that Mr. Dykes-Bey added Q 16 to the exhibit this morning. These were e-mails between 17 you and Barbara Slovisky? 18 Yes. Α Hmm, okay. This was a section that you were shown by 19 Q 20 plaintiff, but I want to go up -- actually down. This 21 first sentence, the first one let's establish, this was an 22 e-mail from you, correct? 23 Yes. Α 24 Q And it was written to a couple of people, Slovisky and a 25 Kurt Miller? **-**132**-**

1	А	Kurt Miller was grievance coordinator.
2	Q	At your facility?
3	А	At the Reformatory.
4	Q	Okay. What was the purpose of these back and forth
5		well, let me ask it this way. What is this designator
6		right here?
7	А	That that is the grievance designation number.
8	Q	Okay.
9	А	A grievance that Dykes-Bey had wrote, and that was the
10		identifying number of it.
11	Q	And so the purpose of these e-mails was somebody from
12		central office was trying to get clarification on what the
13		termination was for, correct?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	This, quotations, "Terminated FS 436 misconduct on
16		assignment," is the exact same line that was on that 175
17		form we looked at a few moments ago, correct?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	And what it says here this was from a previous facility.
20		Is that what you meant by that?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	What you just testified to?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	You were explaining to them that this was information from
25		another facility and not you?

\_\_\_\_133\_

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. And then in the body of this you give the various
3		reasons why he was terminated. And what were those
4		reasons?
5	А	Well, he was accepting payment for legal work, which is
6		against policy.
7	Q	And let me stop you right there. Let's break that down.
8		Why is that important to the MDOC? Why do they care?
9	А	Well, because it can cause a lot of security issues. We
10		have a legal assistance program that if prisoners need
11		legal help, they can request it through our librarian and
12		we have legal writers that get paid to do that job. So to
13		do it on his own is is not acceptable.
14	Q	Okay. Anything else in here that you need to elaborate on?
15	А	Food service, like I said earlier, is considered one of
16		those assignments that's a special security assignment.
17		It's in a secluded area, and
18	Q	And you utilized the language "jeopardizing the integrity
19		and trust of the job position." What does that mean?
20	А	Well, they there's a great honor or trust placed into
21		them to work in that position due to the location, and that
22		trust was placed in him, and he violated that trust and the
23		integrity, and jeopardized his job position for doing such.
24	Q	You heard Mr. Dykes-Bey testify that, "Hey, I never got a
25		misconduct ticket for this." Is that correct?

\_\_\_\_134\_

1	А	That's correct.
2	Q	Okay. Is the MDOC or employee, such as yourself, required
3		to ignore any facts that come to your attention just
4		because the prisoner wasn't written a misconduct ticket?
5	А	No.
6	Q	In fact you have to use your eyes and ears for everything
7		you do in your position, correct?
8	А	Absolutely.
9	Q	And if you're aware of circumstances which could impugn the
10		integrity or trust in the position, you have to act on
11		that, correct?
12	А	Absolutely.
13	Q	And as far as the misconduct ticket that he says he didn't
14		get, that would have been a custody issue, correct?
15	А	It would have been a custody issue, yes.
16	Q	That would have been a decision for the officers in the
17		control center or custody who would have written that
18		misconduct if they chose
19	А	Well, food service could have wrote the misconduct as well.
20	Q	Okay. Okay.
21	A	So they could have. I mean any employee within the
22		department can write a misconduct on a prisoner.
23	Q	And what do they write the misconduct, based on what they
24		themselves have seen, or how do they
25	А	It could be based on what they've seen or third party.

<del>----</del>135-

```
1
             There's also third party misconducts.
             And this information as far as the note that we saw in
2
        Q
3
             Exhibit 7 --
 4
        Α
            Yes.
             -- that Mr. Dykes-Bey says he intended to get to another
 5
 6
             prisoner --
7
             Yes.
        Α
             You didn't originally come across that note, correct?
8
        Q.
 9
        Α
             I didn't come across that note, no.
10
             You didn't find it on this other person?
        Q
11
        Α
             I did not.
12
             Okay. But you were made aware of it subsequently, which is
       Q
13
             one of the reasons you let him go, correct?
14
        Α
             Yes.
15
                        THE COURT: Mr. Dean, could you attempt to
16
             phrase your questions --
17
                        MR. DEAN: Yeah, sorry.
18
                        THE COURT: -- open-ended and not lead?
19
                        MR. DEAN: Not a problem.
20
       BY MR. DEAN:
21
             To your knowledge, was any other officer disciplined as a
22
             result of Mr. Dykes-Bey's grievances?
23
        Α
             No.
             Were you ever disciplined as a result of Mr. Dykes-Bey's
24
        Q
25
             grievances?
```

**—**136**–** 

1	А	No.
2	Q	Were you aware of any situation in which these officers
3		that we've mentioned previously were being investigated for
4		their actions towards Mr. Dykes-Bey?
5	А	No.
6	Q	Did you have any reason to retaliate against him for
7		writing grievances?
8	А	No.
9	Q	And as far as the grievance process, is that one that
10		normally causes or can it cause you problems in your job,
11		having to respond to them?
12	А	What do you mean by "cause me problems?"
13	Q	I mean do you spend hours, or did you spend hours of your
14		day responding to grievances?
15	А	No. I never spent hours of my day responding to
16		grievances, no.
17		MR. DEAN: No further questions. Thank you.
18		THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dykes-Bey. Before
19		you begin, can I see you and Mr. Dean at sidebar.
20		MR. DYKES-BEY: Sure.
21		(From 1:09 p.m. to 1:09 p.m., off the record
22		sidebar with white noise.)
23		CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY M	IR. DYKES-BEY:
25	Q	I would like to stay focused on the primary objective. The $-137$

```
1
             primary objective is I was terminated from food service,
2
             and you explained that I was terminated from food service
3
             because of the allegations set forth in the Notice of
             Intent and the 363, CSJ 363.
 4
 5
                        MR. DYKES-BEY: Pull up Exhibit 7.
 6
        BY MR. DYKES-BEY:
7
             You say that's why you terminated me?
             I terminated you based on the information from that lay-in
8
        Α
 9
             notice and the note that you wrote indicating you needed
10
             your coffee ASAP, and you explained to the prisoner exactly
11
             how he had to get it to you --
12
             Uh-huh.
        Q
             -- and who he had to get it to --
13
        Α
14
        0
             Right.
15
             -- because you needed it. And you didn't cross him, so
        Α
16
             take care of you now.
17
             Right. Now, you said that's why you terminated me?
        Q
18
             I terminated --
        Α
19
                        MR. DYKES-BEY: Can you go --
20
                        THE WITNESS: -- because you were doing legal
21
             work, yes.
22
                        MR. DYKES-BEY: -- Exhibit 9?
23
                        MR. DEAN: Uh-huh.
24
       BY MR. DYKES-BEY:
25
             So what prevented you from putting it on there?
        0
```

**—**138**—** 

1	A	Whenever I have terminated a prisoner, the only thing I
2		ever wrote on those, and it was a common practice, is
3		double O status, unemployable.
4	Q	Is that the policy procedure?
5	A	The policy procedure is I'm placing you on double O
6		status
7	Q	No, I'm asking you is, when a prisoner is reclassified like
8		you did here, termination and reclassified, right?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Do the policy require that you assert on there your reasons
11		for termination?
12	А	Does policy require it, to write it on there? No, it does
13		not.
14		MR. DYKES-BEY: Can you pull up Exhibit 11, I
15		believe it is? There you go. Can you scroll down to the
16		bottom?
17		MR. DEAN: Which one?
18		MR. DYKES-BEY: Well, the first page. Okay.
19		Okay, yeah, now scroll down to the bottom of the first
20		page.
21		MR. DEAN: Okay.
22		MR. DYKES-BEY: Right there.
23	BY M	R. DYKES-BEY:
24	Q	The classification director shall create a case plan for
25		each prisoner that outlines initial classification
		139 <del></del>

decision, program referrals, enrollments, and termination 1 2 on a program classification report and transit 3 accountability plan CSX 175. Now if you go back to Exhibit 9, you will see -- oh, right there, at the top. CSX 175, 4 5 that's the form they're talking about. So any time a 6 prisoner is terminated, they have to assert on that form 7 why he is terminated. 8 THE COURT: Is this a -- you have to ask a 9 question. MR. DYKES-BEY: Oh, okay, so --10 11 THE COURT: You can say, "Isn't that right," or 12 something like that. BY MR. DYKES-BEY: 13 Yeah, okay. The policy that you just seen, is that not 14 15 accurate, the policy --The policy it does --16 Α 17 -- not your personal --Q The policy does say that. 18 Α 19 Okay. Q 20 Α But when I terminate a prisoner, I also include their 21 termination memo, the paperwork that came along with the termination, which was your letter that you wrote, along 22 with the lay-in notice and the 175. So all of them are 23 24 stapled together, and you receive a copy of it, your 25 counselor receives a copy of it, I kept a copy of it, the

**-**140**-**

```
records office gets a copy of it, so there is an entire
1
2
             packet indicating why he was terminated.
3
        Q
             Okay.
             So it doesn't have to be specifically wrote on one form.
 4
        Α
 5
             It's all attached as one packet.
 6
                        MR. DYKES-BEY: Can you go back to --
7
                        MR. DEAN: Which one? Nine or --
                        MR. DYKES-BEY: Eleven.
8
9
                        MR. DEAN: -- eleven?
10
                        MR. DYKES-BEY: Because I'm -- right there.
11
        BY MR. DYKES-BEY:
12
             My question is, is this accurate, this policy that Lansing
        Q
13
             created for the classification director to follow, is that
14
             accurate?
15
        Α
             It is accurate.
16
        Q
             Okay --
17
             But I placed you on double O.
        Α
18
             I'm just asked -- I just asked, "Was that accurate?"
        0
19
             That's all I'm asking. If this is accurate and the
20
             classification director is supposed to follow this
21
             particular model, all I'm asking is why wasn't your reason
             that you're giving today asserted on that CSX 175 form as
22
23
             it expresses right here in this policy?
24
        Α
             I placed the -- you were put on double O status, that's
25
             what I put on there.
```

<del>----</del>141-

1	Q	That's not what see, I don't want to make it appear that
2		I'm badgering, I'm just asking why didn't you follow this?
3		This says that you have to assert it on the form.
4	А	Because common practice was to make a complete packet.
5	Q	So you so you diverted from this particular policy?
6	А	I, if that's how you want to look at it.
7	Q	But you say "common practice," right?
8	А	Right, because the common practice was
9	Q	Common practice is something
10	А	the complete packet.
11	Q	separate from policy itself, you agree with that?
12	А	Which was all attached.
13	Q	Common practice is something separate from the structure
14		established by the Michigan Department of Corrections. You
15		agree with that?
16	А	What was the question?
17	Q	Common practice.
18	А	Okay.
19	Q	You say that you did what you did out of common practice,
20		something that you was accustomed to doing?
21	А	Correct.
22	Q	Was that authorized by policy right here?
23	A	I, when I do it, I just placed it on there as termination,
24		and you got the entire packet
25	Q	It's really a "yes" or "no." —142——

```
-- so it's all included.
1
        Α
2
             If this policy which created by Lansing, the Michigan
        Q
3
             Department of Corrections, it specifically says that when
             you terminate a person, referrals or anything, it has to go
 4
 5
             on the CSX 175 form. That's what it says. I'm asking you
 6
             why didn't you put what you claim today as your reasons for
7
             termination on that form?
             Because it's -- I placed my decision on the 175, because
8
        Α
 9
             that's what it shows right there.
             Your decision was not --
10
        Q
11
             Decision --
        Α
12
             -- on the 175?
        Q
13
        Α
             -- was to terminate you.
             Let's go back to --
14
        0
             That was the decision --
15
        Α
16
             -- Exhibit 9?
17
             -- to terminate you. The reasons why I terminated you were
        Α
18
             laid out in the packet.
19
             There. Right here.
        Q
20
        Α
             That was the decision for the --
21
             It says -- it says --
        Q
22
             -- classification right there.
        Α
23
        Q
             -- comments, classifications, review summary. That's what
24
             it says. This is where you're supposed to put your reasons
25
             for termination, right there. There is no other existing
```

**—**143**—** 

1	documents which you asserting why you terminated me on this
2	particular form right here. There's nothing else on there.
3	What you did
4	THE COURT: You've got to ask questions.
5	MR. DYKES-BEY: Well, okay.
6	BY MR. DYKES-BEY:
7	Q My question is, why didn't you put it on there? That's the
8	question.
9	A Why didn't I put it on there?
10	MR. DEAN: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
11	This has been asked and answered. She said
12	MR. DYKES-BEY: She didn't answer.
13	MR. DEAN: she put the reasons in a packet
14	stapled with the 175 form.
15	MR. DYKES-BEY: That's not true.
16	THE COURT: Well, I think her answer the
17	answer I heard was it was her practice
18	MR. DYKES-BEY: Common practice.
19	THE COURT: to not put it on there.
20	MR. DEAN: Because she put the other information
21	as to the reasons why
22	THE COURT: Well, the jury the jury can
23	you remember. You heard the testimony. You talk about it.
24	You'll figure out what was said.
25	MR. DYKES-BEY: Okay. I'll move I'll move

1		on, your Honor.
2		THE COURT: All right.
3	BY N	MR. DYKES-BEY:
4	Q	The other thing was the, as it relates to whether or not
5		the warden can terminate a prisoner from his job
6		assignment. In this particular case, what did the warden
7		have to do with this termination?
8	А	What did the warden have to do with this termination?
9	Q	Yeah.
10	А	The warden
11	Q	Did the warden did the warden
12		THE COURT: Let her answer.
13	BY N	MR. DYKES-BEY:
14	Q	Okay, go ahead.
15	А	The warden designates a classification director per
16		facility.
17	Q	Okay, did they play a role in this?
18	А	Actually, my supervisors were well aware of the decision I
19		made to terminate prior to me terminating, because in
20		situations like this, I would contact my supervisors and
21		give them all of the relevant information that I had, and I
22		would discuss it with them and let them know what my
23		decision would be, and they supported me on the on the
24		issue of terminating Dykes-Bey.
25	Q	So that's the role that they played? They supported you?

**——1**45**—** 

1	А	Yes, they did.
2	Q	Do we have any evidence of that?
3	А	It was in a conversation I had with them.
4	Q	Oh. So there's no other signatures on here, other than
5		yours?
6	А	Correct.
7	Q	Okay. Can a prisoner be terminated from his job assignment
8		if no, I can't go back to that because, you know, you
9		won't answer that question. So
10		MR. DEAN: I'm going to object to argumentative,
11		your Honor.
12		MR. DYKES-BEY: Yeah, I'll
13		THE COURT: You'll get a chance to fully make
14		all your arguments at the end, Mr. Dykes-Bey.
15		MR. DYKES-BEY: All right. Wow. Anyway, go
16		ahead, pull up Exhibit 12. We're going to go to the text.
17		This is the actual grievance I wrote, but we're going to go
18		to the text.
19		MR. DEAN: I'm sorry, the what?
20		MR. DYKES-BEY: The text between her and the
21		grievance specialist.
22		MR. DEAN: Oh.
23		MR. DYKES-BEY: Yeah, page one. Because we're
24		going to go up. Yeah, right there. Yeah, you got it. You
25		got it.

146—

## 1 BY MR. DYKES-BEY: 2 It says this grievance specialist text to you that she 3 didn't doubt whether or not I was, you know, doing these particular allegations that, you know, you asserted. She 4 5 said, but she still did not see any 363 or 175 requesting 6 approval termination from the sign-in. The documentation 7 should have matched and justified the actions taken. 8 you have any paperwork regarding the termination that you 9 have not sent? MR. DYKES-BEY: And the text after that on page 10 11 two. No, not -- the page two. Because she wrote -- there 12 you go. There you go. I'm going to just stay right there. BY MR. DYKES-BEY: 13 The question I have for you is this. Is there any existing 14 15 documents to establish that a food service supervisor 16 recommended that I be terminated from my job? 17 Was there any other paperwork, is that what you're asking Α 18 me? That's what she asked. 19 Q 20 MR. DYKES-BEY: Well, go back to the first page 21 so she can see --THE WITNESS: What I think she was asking --22 23 MR. DYKES-BEY: There you go right there. 24 THE WITNESS: What I think she was asking in 25 this is she was requesting information for --**—**147**—**

```
BY MR. DYKES-BEY:
1
2
             Where? She says where -- where --
        Q
 3
             -- a couple different things.
        Α
             Where is the 363 and the 175? Right there, requesting
 4
        0
 5
             approval of termination from the signer. She asking,
 6
             "Where is that," and I'm asking you, do you have anything
             to present to establish or to answer her question?
7
8
        Α
             I don't have a three sixty -- I did not have a 363
 9
             requesting a termination. I had the lay --
             So, what is your reasons for termination?
10
        Q
11
             The lay-in notice.
        Α
12
        Q
             So you used --
13
        Α
             And your letter that you wrote.
             So you used North's lay-in note. Now my question is this,
14
        0
15
             what led you to believe the allegations that North set
16
             forth in the lay-in notes? What led you to believe that?
17
             What led me to believe that you received coffee for
        Α
18
             those -- that --
19
             No. The man said that I was extorting people over there in
        Q
20
             food service. What led you to believe that?
21
             The letter you --
        Α
22
        Q
             Or did you -- or did you --
23
        Α
             The letter you wrote.
24
        Q
             -- terminate me from something else?
25
        Α
             No. The letter you wrote.
```

**-**148-

1	Q	Okay. We're going to go back to that that letter, which
2		is I think Exhibit 7.
3		MR. DYKES-BEY: Exhibit 7. That's it right
4		there, but go to the letter. I think it's on the right
5		there. There you go. Right there. Right here.
6	BY M	MR. DYKES-BEY:
7	Q	Now, if you will, explain to me, I would like you to
8		explain to me, where is a demand in this letter right here?
9		You said demand, right? You took that as I was forcing
10		somebody to do something, right?
11	А	"I need them. Five bags of coffee ASAP."
12	Q	That's, okay. And you took that as a demand?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	Okay, all is well. For the sake of any misconception, the
15		letter reads, "This is DB, the one who hooked that
16		situation up for you to go to the prosecutor's office." So
17		you took that as what, legal work?
18	А	Absolutely.
19	Q	Okay, now most often when a prisoner is accused of doing
20		legal work for another prisoner, he has an administrative
21		hearing to determine whether or not the document classifies
22		as legal work. Do you agree with that?
23	А	Um.
24	Q	Or do you want to get the
25		THE COURT: Let her answer.
		149

```
1
                        MR. DYKES-BEY: Okay.
2
                        THE COURT: Let her answer.
3
                        THE WITNESS: Do I agree with -- I don't know
 4
             all the technical terms on the administrative hearing
             for --
 5
 6
       BY MR. DYKES-BEY:
7
             How long you work for the DOC?
        0
8
             I've worked there 21 years.
        Α
 9
             Okay. So was you ever --
        Q
10
        Α
             But I didn't have to do the legal --
11
             Was you ever a --
        0
12
        Α
             -- paperwork.
             -- correction officer?
13
        Q
14
        Α
             Yes, I was.
15
             Okay, and you wrote tickets before, right?
        Q
16
             Yes, I have.
        Α
17
             Okay. So in your 21 years of experience, you don't know
        Q
18
             whether or not a person who been accused of doing legal
19
             services for payment for another prisoner, you don't know
20
             whether or not that somebody could write him a ticket for
21
             that?
22
        Α
             Well, yes, I do know that they can be written a --
23
        Q
             Okay, so --
24
        Α
             -- ticket for that.
             -- you -- okay. Now, if he -- if the policy required that
25
        Q
```

<del>----</del>150--

1		this particular person receives a misconduct for that, I
2		would like to ask you in your 21 years of experience why
3		wouldn't a person receive a misconduct for that?
4	А	Well, here
5	Q	Why wouldn't he?
6	А	Why wouldn't he?
7		MR. DEAN: Object to foundation.
8		MR. DYKES-BEY: The foundation is
9		THE COURT: Well easy.
10		MR. DYKES-BEY: Oh.
11		THE COURT: I'll allow it.
12		MR. DYKES-BEY: Thank you.
13		THE COURT: You may answer.
14		THE WITNESS: Okay. Like mentioned earlier, in
15		the end of December of 2013 Aramark took over the food
16		service privatization company, and they were not fully
17		aware of all of the rules of the MDOC, and neither were
18		their staff. So when it comes to writing misconducts, the
19		staff member was probably unaware that that was something
20		he could write a ticket on. He knew it wasn't right, but
21		he probably wasn't aware that he could write a ticket on
22		it.
23	Q	Who who found the note?
24	A	Who found the note?
25	Q	Yeah.

\_\_\_\_151\_

```
Didn't you say Jones found the note?
1
        Α
2
             And Jones was what?
        Q
3
             Jones was -- I don't know, was Jones an officer at the
        Α
             time? I don't know who Jones is. Was Jones an officer at
 4
             the time?
 5
 6
             Stephen Jones was the kitchen officer at that time.
        Q
7
        Α
             Oh.
 8
        Q
             Before that he was the food service supervisor. Now I
 9
             don't know how you don't know that, but all is well. I'm
10
             saying this, the officer, he was not new. And so I asked
11
             you, "Normally would that constitute a misconduct?" You
12
             said, "Yes."
13
        Α
             Normally it would, yes.
             But you did defer to, well, these people, because they new
14
        0
15
             and don't know the policy, they don't know how to write a
16
             ticket. Well, you refer to the food service supervisors
17
             who work for Aramark, right?
18
             I was, because I was reading this --
        Α
             Okay, well, that --
19
        Q
20
        Α
             -- and it said North on the --
21
             Yeah, that -- that --
        Q
22
             -- note right there.
        Α
23
        Q
             That's not who --
24
        Α
             Okay.
25
             -- discovered the note though.
        Q
```

**-**152**-**

1	A	Okay.
2	Q	Now the person that discovered the note was a correctional
3		officer, Stephen Jones. So if from your 21 years of
4		experience, if an officer discovered that another prisoner
5		was doing legal work for another person in exchange for
6		money, you said that he would receive a misconduct. In
7		this regard, I'm asking you why Stephen Jones, a correction
8		officer, did not write a misconduct?
9		MR. DEAN: Objection, foundation.
10		THE WITNESS: I can't answer that, because I
11		don't know.
12		MR. DEAN: How could she possibly know what's
13		MR. DYKES-BEY: Well, how
14		MR. DEAN: Officer Jones
15		THE COURT: Well, he may have told her.
16		MR. DEAN: Well, then he should ask that.
17		THE COURT: I'll allow I'll allow her to
18		answer. Allow the question. You can answer.
19		THE WITNESS: What? Was the question, "Why
20		didn't Jones"
21		MR. DYKES-BEY: I'll say
22		THE WITNESS: "write the ticket?"
23		THE COURT: "Why didn't Jones why didn't
24		Jones write him the ticket?"
25	BY M	R. DYKES-BEY:

\_\_\_\_153\_

```
Yeah. Well, why do you think --
1
2
                        THE COURT: Hold on. Mr. Dykes-Bey, hold on.
3
                        MR. DYKES-BEY: Okay.
                        THE COURT: The question was, "Do you know why
 4
             Jones didn't write him a ticket?"
5
 6
                        THE WITNESS: I have no idea --
7
                        THE COURT: Answer the question if you can --
                        THE WITNESS: -- why officer --
8
9
                        MR. DYKES-BEY: Okay.
10
                        THE WITNESS: -- Jones wouldn't have wrote the
11
             ticket.
12
       BY MR. DYKES-BEY:
             Do you know why an officer wouldn't write one? A seasoned
13
       Q
14
             officer wouldn't write one?
             I have no idea. I can't -- I can't answer the question
15
       Α
16
            for --
17
             Your -- I said your 21 years --
       Q
18
            -- other people.
       Α
19
            -- of experience?
        Q
20
                        THE COURT: Mr. Dykes-Bey --
21
                        MR. DYKES-BEY: Okay.
22
                        THE COURT: I know -- I know it's -- you get
23
             caught up and the adrenaline's pumping.
24
                        MR. DYKES-BEY: Okay, yeah.
25
                        THE COURT: I've been there myself.
                                 ——154—
```

```
MR. DYKES-BEY: Okay, answer this question --
1
2
                        THE COURT: But I will -- but let her -- you've
3
             got to let her fully answer.
 4
                        MR. DYKES-BEY: All right.
 5
                        THE COURT: And then you can ask the next
 6
             question.
7
        BY MR. DYKES-BEY:
             Go ahead.
 8
        Q
 9
             I can't answer why an officer wouldn't write a ticket on
        Α
             the violation.
10
11
             All right.
        0
12
        Α
             Every officer is their own being.
             Big difference, right. Okay, now my question is, since
13
        Q
14
             you've got 21 years of experience and that you was a
15
             correction officer yourself once, why wouldn't you write
16
             one if you discovered it?
17
             Why wouldn't I write the ticket?
        Α
18
             Yeah. What would be a reason for you not to write it, if
        0
19
             you had discovered it?
20
        Α
             If I had discovered it, I would have wrote the ticket.
21
             There, you're telling me there is no instance where you
        Q
             wouldn't have wrote it?
22
23
             Not in a situation like that, no.
        Α
24
        Q
             Okay. Have you ever heard of an instance where an officer
25
             had discovered something but didn't know how to write the
```

**-**155**-**

1		misconduct, and a superior officer who investigated with
2		him wrote it instead? Have you ever seen that?
3	А	Where the officer didn't write it instead, they may have
4		asked for help on writing it, but the other officer didn't
5		write it. The officer who found it wrote it themselves.
6	Q	Okay. But normally a person would receive a misconduct if
7		they're found to be at fault for a misconduct violation?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	You agree with that?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. But we know that no misconduct was written in this
12		particular case. We do know that, right?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. So I asked what made you believe the allegations set
15		forth by North, and you said this note right here?
16	А	Correct.
17	Q	But there is no hearing report to establish that the letter
18		sent to the prosecutor office reporting a crime constituted
19		as legal work?
20	А	You were you were doing services for another prisoner
21		and receiving payment for them.
22	Q	No, I said there is no hearing officer report to establish
23		that, meaning a fact finder. You see there was no there
24		was no fact finder to establish that. If you believed
25		that, why didn't you assert that on the CSX 175 form? If

\_\_\_\_\_156<u>\_\_</u>

```
1
             you actually believed that?
2
             Why didn't I write --
        Α
3
             That -- or -- or why did -- the question is this, why
        Q
             did -- I'll make it more simpler. Why did you write on
 4
             that CSX 175 form, "see attached," if you actually believed
 5
 6
             that you claimed you attached this to that?
7
             I attached it to it. I attached the whole --
        Α
8
             What -- what --
        Q
 9
             -- packet together.
        Α
             Okay, but if you did that, why didn't you write on the
10
        Q
11
             front of that, "see attached?"
12
        Α
             Because I just wrote unemployable.
             Is all, is it common for MDOC employees to just deviate
13
        Q
14
             from the structure or policy?
15
       Α
             No.
16
             That's not a common practice?
        Q
17
        Α
             No.
18
             But it was for you?
        0
             I included it all as a packet. You got all the information
19
        Α
20
             in a packet.
21
             Well, you said it was a common practice for you not to
        Q
22
             assert anything --
23
             I didn't -- I didn't write it on the 175 because you got
        Α
24
             the entire packet together. That was all stapled together.
25
        Q
             Okay. There was --
```

**—**157**-**

Indicating you were on double O, and all the double O 1 Α 2 information was within that packet. 3 Right. Now how'd we, because all I received was the actual Q form. I never received everything that came with it. 4 5 That's why I'm asking you why didn't you write "see 6 attached" on there? Because the only thing that was on 7 there was the reclassification. As a matter of fact --8 MR. DYKES-BEY: Can you pull number nine up 9 again? BY MR. DYKES-BEY: 10 11 I'm going to show you what was attached to it. This right 12 here, your report, and this right here. 13 MR. DYKES-BEY: Stop right there, sir. Can you pull it back up to the top? That right there. 14 15 BY MR. DYKES-BEY: 16 This memorandum where you telling me that I was terminated 17 from my job and that I had been placed on unemployable status, that's what was attached to it. Nothing else was 18 attached to that. So I'm asking you if you had attached 19 20 that, would it not have made sense to just assert on there, 21 "See attached?" If that's what you was going to do. 22 you wasn't going just write in the box like the policy say 23 write it in there, would it not have been appropriate to 24 just put in the box, "See attached?" 25 I probably could have put it in the box, "See attached," Α

**—**158**—** 

1		but because I stapled it all together, the lay-in notice
2		should have been with that as well.
3	Q	Now you claim that you know North. Where you know North
4		from, if you never went to the chow hall. You testified
5		you didn't go to the chow hall. And your job was not in
6		the same location as food service. So where did you you
7		didn't you said you didn't know Jones, you don't know
8		Meadows
9	A	Didn't know him.
10	Q	but you know North?
11	А	I knew who North was because we had a food service meeting
12		and he was in it one time. So to have a personal
13		conversation with North, no. I just knew who he was.
14	Q	Wait, wait, wait okay, when did you become familiar with
15		who North was?
16	A	I couldn't honestly couldn't
17	Q	Because Aramark
18	A	couldn't answer that.
19	Q	I remembered like it was yesterday they came in in
20		February. Jones knew went out, they came in, I believe
21		it was February 2013, because the allegations that came up
22		around May. So I'm I was asking around what time did
23		this March meeting occur, and why is that North North,
24		he didn't even have no status. He wasn't a manager, he
25		wasn't he wasn't anything. As a matter of fact, North

<del>-----</del>159-

1	had got escorted off the property for inappropriate	
2	behavior, although he came back. But I don't see where	
3	you how y'all, you know, folks that had some meeting an	nd
4	y'all met because you had Ms. Kerr, she was the manager,	
5	and then you had the director, food service director, who	
6	was over her. North, well he was just a guy working in th	ne
7	commissary and on kettle row. He didn't have no status,	30
8	I don't see how he was a part of any kind of meeting. And	d
9	if he did, where did the meeting occur?	
10	MR. DEAN: Your Honor, are we going to get a	
11	question in here?	
12	MR. DYKES-BEY: Yeah, where did the meeting	
13	occur?	
14	MR. DEAN: She just told him how she met with	
15	him.	
16	MR. DYKES-BEY: Okay, so what's	
17	MR. DEAN: So and then we're getting a long	
18	soliloquy.	
19	THE COURT: Mr. Dykes-Bey	
20	BY MR. DYKES-BEY:	
21	Q Okay, where did the meeting occur?	
22	A It happened in food service.	
23	Q In food service?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q Okay, and you don't know what time?	
	160-	

1	А	I don't know what
2	Q	The reason I'm asking
3	А	time. I don't know the day.
4	Q	about the time, can you give me an estimation?
5	А	That was four years ago. I don't know the exact time and
6		day of when
7	Q	How many meetings do y'all have with food service?
8	А	We did not have very many. Not very many at all. It was
9		when they first started coming to the facility, when
10		Aramark first started taking over.
11	Q	Uh-huh. What was your natural course of termination? Why
12		was that the natural course versus the 30 day condition?
13	А	What do you mean my natural course of termination?
14	Q	Yeah, why was that your your first instance?
15	А	Why was that one? Because it was
16	Q	Instead of what the food service had recommended?
17	A	Because that it was a safety and security issue of the
18		institution. You jeopardized the trust of the position.
19	Q	Okay. So you're saying that okay, go to this, the
20		policy which is Exhibit 13, I believe.
21		THE COURT: That's a program classification.
22		MR. DYKES-BEY: Yeah, that's okay, yeah. Go
23		ahead. Scroll down. Not that. It's another one. Go to
24		the next one. Okay, this what that what I'm going to
25		get to that in a minute. This says, this was written by

Ms. Rogers, my case manager. She put on there that I 1 2 haven't received a misconduct since 2013. She wanted --3 she asked you about this. You wrote her back and said there is reasons for food service. 4 5 THE COURT: Is that a question? 6 BY MR. DYKES-BEY: 7 The question is, which one is it? Is it because of the 0 legal work situation, or is it because of various reasons 8 9 for food service? It says, "No employment," and it's circled with a question 10 Α 11 mark, right? 12 She ask --Q 13 Α I'm answering your question. She asked, I'm just going to repeat it one more time. My 14 0 15 ARUS asked on what date this alleged misconduct, what did 16 she want to identify it. Because I haven't had a 17 misconduct since 2013. You wrote her back and said, 18 "6/3/14, various reasons from food service." I'm asking 19 you which one is it? 20 Α I'm --21 Is it because of the note between me and Mr. Johnson, or is 22 it because there is reasons from food service? 23 Α It has to do with neither of them on why I wrote "6/3/14"24 various reasons from food service." I wrote that because 25 it says, "No employment, question mark. Why?" And I put

**—**162**—** 

```
in parentheses, "6/3/14, various reasons from food
1
2
             service." That's why you have no employment.
3
             So why is that arrow from here to --
        Q
             I have no idea why that arrow is there. I did not do that
 4
        Α
 5
             arrow.
 6
             Oh. Okay. There was only two explanations that you gave.
        Q
7
             One is in the grievance that you -- that's another thing.
             You said that when the prisoner writes a -- files a
 8
 9
             grievance that the person who you filed a grievance with is
             never interviewed?
10
11
             I didn't say that.
12
                        MR. DEAN: That's not what she said, your Honor.
13
                        THE WITNESS: I did not say that.
       BY MR. DYKES-BEY:
14
15
             Okay, I'm just asking the question for clarification.
        Q
16
        Α
             Okay.
17
             So that person is interviewed according to the policy, if
        Q
18
             you pull it up, what grievance is. I think it's -- oh,
             it's right there, at 00, the first one. This the grievance
19
20
             policy. Now the grievance, according to the grievance
21
             policy, if I am mistaken --
                        MR. DYKES-BEY: Can you go to the grievance
22
23
             process on step one?
24
        BY MR. DYKES-BEY:
25
             I believe the grievance policy calls for -- for step one.
        0
```

**—**163**—** 

MR. DYKES-BEY: For step one you got to keep 1 2 going down. Right there. Right there. 3 BY MR. DYKES-BEY: See? It says, "If the grievance is accepted, the grievance 4 Q 5 coordinator should assign appropriate respondent to 6 identify the date by which their response is due. The 7 respondent shall generally be the supervisor or the person 8 being grieved, except for grievances involving the parole 9 board." Okay, we going to go on it says, "If the issue of an" -- okay. Right here. "The respondent shall interview 10 11 the grievant unless he or she refuse to participate in the 12 interview. The respondent is not assigned to the location 13 at which the grievant is confined or if the grievant is under parole -- parole in the community. The respondent 14 15 does" -- where -- where you go -- where you go that fast? 16 But anyway, anyway, my question is, do the MDOC interview 17 both parties? 18 Yes. Α Okay. If they interview both parties, can you recall what 19 Q 20 your response was to the grievance that I filed against 21 you? 22 I --Α 23 Q Because you said you didn't have no knowledge that I wrote 24 a grievance? 25 I didn't is a that I didn't have knowledge that -- you Α **—**164**—** 

1		didn't write a grievance on me. On the other people I
2		didn't have knowledge of it, but when I get grievances
3		wrote on me, my supervisor will call me and ask me about
4		them.
5	Q	Okay.
6		MR. DEAN: Your Honor, I'm going to object here
7		on foundation. This passage he had the witness read is
8		talking about the grievant. The prisoner writing the
9		grievance
10		MR. DYKES-BEY: Yeah.
11		MR. DEAN: not the grievee. So
12		MR. DYKES-BEY: Well, she asked a question
13		THE COURT: Hold on
14		MR. DEAN: So your line of questioning is
15		misleading.
16		THE COURT: Mr. Dykes-Bey.
17		MR. DEAN: It's misleading because it's implying
18		that the interview has to be with the grievant implying
19		that it was her, when actually the policy is talking about
20		the grievant.
21		THE COURT: Okay. Well, you
22		MR. DYKES-BEY: It's
23		THE COURT: Mr. Dykes-Bey, hold on. It seems to
24		me that's grounds for argument. The jury will have this
25		exhibit in the jury room. They can read it for themselves

\_\_\_\_\_165<u>\_\_</u>

1		and draw whatever conclusions they think are appropriate.
2		MR. DYKES-BEY: Well, I was going to say I could
3		have continued to read, but didn't want to take all day
4		reading the whole policy, but it's in there that both
5		parties are interviewed. They appoint a designated person
6		who has no stake in it to do an investigation.
7		THE COURT: Ask her a question.
8	BY M	IR. DYKES-BEY:
9	Q	Okay. So you do agree that both parties are interviewed
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	by a neutral person?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	And you said that you don't recall what your response
14		was
15	А	No, I don't recall it.
16	Q	in that particular grievance.
17		MR. DYKES-BEY: Okay. Well, with that, I'm
18		going to make sure I've got with that, I'm going to
19		close off. Thank you.
20		THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Dykes-Bey.
21		Ladies and gentlemen, we have 20 minutes left
22		until our break. I regret to inform you that the judge has
23		to use the little judge's room, so we're going to take like
24		a five minute break, and then we'll come back and we'll go
25		to two, and we'll adjourn at two as promised. So we'll be

166—

```
adjourned for about five minutes.
1
2
                        MR. DEAN: Well, if it will help --
3
                        THE COURT: Yeah.
                        MR. DEAN: -- I don't think I have any redirect,
 4
 5
             so.
 6
                        THE COURT: Oh, you don't? Okay.
7
                        MR. DEAN: Yeah, we might be done for the day
 8
             or --
 9
                        THE COURT: All right, well, I'll tell you what,
             we'll still adjourn, I'll talk to Mr. Dean and
10
11
             Mr. Dykes-Bey. It's possible that we'll be done for the
12
             day now. You may step down, Ms. Kerr. Thank you for your
13
             testimony.
14
                        (At 1:42 p.m., witness excused.)
                        THE COURT: All right, Stef.
15
16
                        (At 1:42 p.m., court in recess.)
17
                        (At 1:47 p.m., court reconvened, all parties
18
             present.)
                        THE COURT: All right, so Mr. -- you may be
19
20
             seated. Mr. Dean, you -- are you going to offer any
21
             additional evidence?
22
                        MR. DEAN: No.
23
                        THE COURT: So you're going to rest?
24
                        MR. DEAN: We'll rest, yup.
25
                        THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Dykes-Bey, do you intend
```

<del>-----</del>167--

1	to offer any rebuttal evidence?
2	MR. DYKES-BEY: No.
3	THE COURT: All right. So the evidence is done.
4	What I'm proposing is we'll adjourn, I'll bring the jury
5	back in, tell them that, we'll adjourn for the day. I've
6	got the jury instructions, which I'll give you each a set
7	of. You can look those over tonight. I assume there's no
8	problem with him taking these jury instructions back with
9	him, gentlemen?
10	Okay, you can both work on your closing
11	arguments, we'll come back 9 o'clock tomorrow morning.
12	You'll deliver closing arguments. I'll then read the
13	instructions to the jury and send them back to deliberate.
14	So, all right. Anything else we should talk about before I
15	bring them back? Mr. Dykes-Bey, anything?
16	MR. DYKES-BEY: No, sir.
17	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Dean?
18	MR. DEAN: No, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's get the jury in.
20	I have a verdict form, too, which I'll include
21	with the
22	MR. DYKES-BEY: Okay.
23	THE COURT: instructions. Gentlemen, I'd
24	like you had him here early today, thank you for that.
25	You know, by 8:30 tomorrow, just in case there's any legal

\_\_\_\_168\_\_

issues we need to talk about. 1 2 (At 1:49 p.m., jury enters courtroom.) 3 THE CLERK: Please be seated. 4 THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, 5 Mr. Dean has rested the defense case, so they have 6 presented all the evidence they're going to present. 7 Mr. Dykes-Bey has decided not to present any rebuttal 8 evidence, so you've now heard all the evidence you're going 9 to hear in the case. We're going to adjourn for the day. I'm going 10 11 to -- I prepared jury instructions. Mr. Dykes-Bey and 12 Mr. Dean need to review those, so they'll do that tonight. 13 They'll work on their closing arguments tonight. We'll come back 9 o'clock tomorrow morning and you'll hear the 14 15 closing argument from each side. As I said, Mr. Dykes-Bey will go first, Mr. Dean will then follow him, and then 16 17 Mr. Dykes-Bey will be able to give a brief rebuttal to 18 whatever Mr. Dean says, if he chooses to. And then I will 19 read you the jury instructions and we'll send you back to 20 the jury room to decide. So that's the plan. 21 Please remember my cautionary instruction. 22 Don't discuss the case with anyone, including yourself. 23 know it's a pain to not be able to go home and talk to your 24 spouse or, you know, kids or whoever and tell them, you

**—**169**—** 

know, what's going on down here, but you just can't do it.

25

So when the case is over you'll be able to talk to them about it, but for now, you can't. So go on and have a wonderful evening. Enjoy what's left of this gorgeous day. You'll notice the entertainment. They knew we were finishing I guess, so they ended the entertainment. So you'll have to go make your own. All right, we'll be adjourned. THE CLERK: All rise, please. (At 1:50 p.m., proceedings concluded.) -00000-

**—**170**—** 

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	
4	I, Bonnie L. Rozema, CER, do hereby certify
5	that the foregoing transcript consisting of 171 pages, is a
6	complete, true, and accurate transcript of the proceedings and
7	testimony, to the best of my ability from the audio recording,
8	held in this case on August 22, 2018.
9	I do further certify that I prepared the
10	foregoing transcript.
11	
12	
13	
14	/s/ Bonnie L. Rozema
15	Bonnie L. Rozema, CER-5571
16	2700 92nd Street, S.W. Byron Center, MI 49315 (616) 878-9091
17	
18	Notary Public in and for Kent County, Michigan My commission expires: March 26, 2019 Acting in the County of Kent
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	<u> </u>